

# Annual Report

## JUVENILE COURT OF MEMPHIS AND SHELBY COUNTY

616 Adams Avenue



Memphis, Tennessee 38105

2013

Curtis S. Person, *Judge*



Herbert J. Lane, *Chief Legal Officer*

Dan H. Michael, *Chief Magistrate*

Felicia M. Hogan, *Juvenile Magistrate*

Sheldon Y. McCall, *Juvenile Magistrate*

Harold W. Horne, *Juvenile Magistrate*

David S. Walker, *Juvenile Magistrate*

David Ferguson, *Juvenile Magistrate*

Lyttonya Cunningham, *Child Support Magistrate*

Joseph G. Little, *Child Support Magistrate*

Nancy Kessler, *Child Support Magistrate*

Debra Sanders, *Child Support Magistrate*

Larry K. Scroggs, *Chief Administrative Officer & Chief Counsel*

Jerry W. Maness, *Director*  
*Court Services*

Dini Malone, *Director*  
*Administrative Services*


# A Message From The Judge

It is a privilege to serve as the elected judge of a Juvenile Court known throughout the nation for its innovative efforts, and it is especially rewarding to work each day with individuals fully committed to the best interests of the children and families they serve. This annual report of the activities of the Juvenile Court of Memphis and Shelby County for calendar year 2013 is my eighth as presiding judge. It provides a brief overview of the tireless work of hundreds of dedicated employees on behalf of children and families in Shelby County.

For more than a century, Juvenile Court has engaged in a vigorous quest for excellence by constantly searching for ways to improve services while building upon research and evidence-based practices. Many juvenile justice initiatives undertaken at this Court have been adopted as models for other programs in Tennessee and across the nation. The process of developing new initiatives continues. Several significant events occurred in 2013.

After Memphis and Shelby County's designation by the Annie E. Casey Foundation as a **Juvenile Detention Alternatives Initiative (JDAI) Site** in 2012, Juvenile Court led the efforts to create the organizational structure and develop a work plan. In 2013 the Court helped add subcommittees for specific tasks in the areas of data collection and analysis, reduction of disproportionate minority contact, review and validation of the detention assessment tool (DAT), and alternatives to detention. Members of the JDAI team attended the National Inter-site Conference in Atlanta in April. JDAI is now in effect in more than 200 counties across 39 states and the District of Columbia. The Court's participation has resulted in continued reduction of the number of juveniles detained for less serious offenses and fewer transports by law enforcement officers.

In 2013 Juvenile Court continued to pursue reform efforts in accordance with the **Memorandum of Agreement (MOA)** between the U.S. Department of Justice and the Court and Shelby County Government.



**The Honorable Curtis S. Person**  
*Judge*  
Juvenile Court of Memphis and Shelby County

In recognition of the many reforms our Court implemented before 2012, DOJ determined the Court should continue to build on its previous reforms and become the model for juvenile justice in the United States. The MOA will guide the Court toward full compliance with the reform measures agreed upon by the parties on December 17, 2012, subject to available funding from Shelby County Government. DOJ attorneys, monitors and consultants completed compliance visits in April and October, documented by reports measuring the Court's progress. All DOJ compliance reports are posted on the Court's website. As part of the reform efforts, a new medical and mental health services contract was successfully implemented in 2013. It provides a more comprehensive system of care for juveniles in detention.

**Youth Court**, the statutorily based program begun in February, 2011 with student teams from four Memphis city schools, expanded to ten high schools in 2013. The program now has five legacy City schools, four legacy County schools, and a Charter school. Youth Court is a juvenile delinquency diversion and peer-justice program dedicated to rehabilitation of first-time nonviolent offenders. The program holds the youthful offenders accountable for their behaviors and educates them about citizenship. Local attorneys and law students from the University of Memphis guide the student team members in their roles as prosecutors, defense counsel, jurors and court officers. One hundred thirteen hearings were conducted in 2013, involving 155 students and 55 volunteer attorneys. Forty seven first time offenders began

participating as high school team members soon after their dispositions were completed and all their charges were dismissed. The program has the lowest recidivism of any Court program with a current rate of eight percent.

**Hope Academy** began its third full academic year in August, 2013. In February, 2010, the Court partnered with Memphis City Schools to establish a structured education program for detained youth, known as “Hope Academy.” The program provides six hours of classroom instruction in English, math, science, social studies and guidance/life skills. It includes a computer lab and the necessary software to connect detained youth to their regular classroom curriculum. A recreational director plans and implements a program to provide an hour of physical education and training each day. This program has proven to be of great benefit to the youth it serves. It has significantly reduced recidivism among those who have attended Hope Academy. An education mentor project, labeled Hope Academy Mentor Program (HAMP) was begun in 2012, with the objective of following up on a youth’s academic progress once he or she returns to a regular school environment.

The **School Based Probation Liaison project (SBPL)**, a new partnership with Shelby County Schools, was launched in 2013. The project is designed to maintain closer contact with juveniles under supervised probation upon their return to their assigned schools. Key SCS faculty and administrators are authorized to monitor the students’ academic progress and school attendance as conditions of probation. The SCS staffers are also encouraged to serve as mentors and advocates for the probationers. The objective is to help prevent their return to the juvenile justice system.

The Court maintained two special grant agreements with the Tennessee Department of Human Services that provide funding for four child support magistrates, six principal court clerks and two management/supervisory personnel. The grants also support the Court’s Office of the Non-Custodial Parent Advocate and provide for design and development of new computer software programs. The technological assistance reinforced the Court’s initiative to provide for electronic filing of orders and petitions on child support cases. E-filing of IV-D child support petitions became operational October 5, 2011.

The **Office of Non-custodial Parent Advocate**, established in 2006, continued to function effectively in 2013.

Its two attorney staff daily assists pro se or self-represented litigants by explaining Court procedures and by providing them with general guidance through the different offices involved with their cases. The Office of Non-custodial Advocate has served more than 25,000 people and has been studied as a model for establishing such programs in other Tennessee counties.

On October 18 the Court’s **103rd** anniversary celebration featured an all day Continuing Legal Education program conducted by the Tennessee Administrative Office of the Courts and facilitated in partnership with Victorian Village Inc.


Later in the year the **National Council of Juvenile and Family Court Judges (NCJFCJ)** chose Juvenile Court to participate in the new School Pathways to Justice initiative. The Court is one of only 16 juvenile courts in the nation selected by NCJFCJ. The program is strongly supported by the Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention (OJJDP) and several foundation partners.

My eight year term as Presiding Judge will end August 31, 2014. It has been a great honor to serve as Juvenile Court Judge and to have had the support and encouragement of so many outstanding individuals who come to work each day, intent upon helping children and youth discover better paths for their life journeys. I have been inspired by their examples of service. I am especially grateful for the more than 30,000 hours of voluntary services rendered annually by the Auxiliary Probation Service, the Foster Care Review Boards, the Chaplaincy Corps, the Youth Court attorneys and law students, and so many others. The Court could not operate efficiently and effectively without the generous contributions of time, talent and energy by its volunteers.

It is my sincere hope that the Court as an institution will remain strong and effective. That hope rests firmly in the basic principles that have guided my tenure: ***Since the children and families who come to Juvenile Court are either troubled or in trouble, the Court must always be open and accessible. Everyone who seeks help will be treated fairly, with respect, and their due process rights will be protected.***

This report is available in electronic format, accessible via the Court’s website:  
**[www.juvenilecourt.shelbycountyttn.gov](http://www.juvenilecourt.shelbycountyttn.gov)**.

# JUVENILE COURT OF MEMPHIS AND SHELBY COUNTY, TENNESSEE ORGANIZATIONAL CHART



# Table of Contents

A Message From The Judge.....	2
Organizational Chart.....	4
Statistical Summary .....	6
Court Clerk.....	7
Legal Department.....	9
Court Services Division .....	11
Children's Bureau	
Detention Services Bureau	
Evaluation and Referral Bureau	
Office of Outcome Evaluation and Performance Improvement	
Youth Services Bureau	
General Social Data	
Dispositional Data	
Office of Clinical Services.....	10
Administrative Services Division .....	51
Fiscal and Support Services Bureau	
Finance and Purchasing Services Bureau	
Personnel Services Bureau	
Volunteer Services Bureau	
Interagency Services .....	55
Index.....	57

STATISTICAL SUMMARY 2013

The following table is a brief statistical summary that reveals to some extent the Court's progress and the effectiveness and efficiency of its services during the past five years.

	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013
<b>Staff</b>	251	256	254	254	249
<b>Budget - Fiscal Year 2013-2014</b>	\$17,356,330	\$17,612,084	\$17,408,524	\$17,838,008	\$18,448,956
<b>Children's Cases</b>					
Other - Custody, Etc.	573	673	1,079	1,210	1,054
Dependent and Neglected	3,903	3,672	3,383	3,470	3,017
Unruly and Runaway	1,788	2,005	1,906	1,564	1,848
Delinquent	11,519	11,641	10,733	8,995	9,105
Traffic (Summoned/Taken into custody)	91	59	91	81	79
<b>Total Children's Cases</b>	<b>17,874</b>	<b>18,050</b>	<b>17,192</b>	<b>15,320</b>	<b>15,103</b>
<b>Traffic Citations</b>	4,404	3,838	3,114	3,285	2,952
<b>Children Admitted to Detention Center</b>					
Dependent and Neglected	2	1	3	0	0
Unruly and Runaway	203	57	12	10	4
Delinquent	7,116	6,075	5,132	3,844	1,440
Traffic	9	1	0	1	0
Transient (Previously included in Unruly.)	21	14	18	16	8
Special (Previously included in Delinquent.)	181	90	84	78	52
Federal (Previously included in Delinquent.)	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Total Children Admitted</b>	<b>7,532</b>	<b>6,238</b>	<b>5,249</b>	<b>3,949</b>	<b>1,504</b>
<b>Children Committed to DCS Corrective</b>	367	283	271	295	241
<b>Children Committed to DCS Protective</b>	913	859	897	816	715
<b>Children Committed to YSB</b>	669	579	576	624	368
<b>Children Transferred as Adults</b>	194	151	121	99	90
<b>Child Support cases heard</b>	<b>19,384</b>	<b>23,958</b>	<b>33,175</b>	<b>31,774</b>	<b>31,675</b>
<b>All others (Childrens cases heard)</b>	<b>14,051</b>	<b>14,700</b>	<b>15,189</b>	<b>15,604</b>	<b>14,662</b>

## Court Clerk's Office

### Joy Toulitos

*Juvenile Court Clerk*

Harvey Henderson, *Chief Administrative Officer*

Teresa Garvins, *Administrator*

Bill Pope, *Accounting*

Gail Poindexter, *Administrative Assistant*

Julia Wiseman, *Central Records Department*

Kathy Adams, *Collections Department*

Karen Brooks, *Minute Department*

Steven Anderson, *Chief Bailiff*

Adreine Williams, *Court Costs*

Calvin Walk, *Process/ Parent Location*

Faye Pritchard, *Special Projects Coordinator*



**Joy Toulitos**  
*Clerk of Court*

The goal of the Clerk's Office in 2013 was to continue to function efficiently and effectively, to respond to the needs of the families of our community, and to generate revenue through collection of court ordered fines and fees, grant contracts and state reimbursement to offset the cost of court operation.

In 2009, the Child Support Services Division at Juvenile Court was not awarded the state contract for the first time since 1976. The state contract for child support services for Shelby County went to Maximus, a private agency, beginning in July 2009. Unlike in previous years, the IV-D Child Support Agency is no longer located in the Juvenile Court building but at an off-site location. This transition in child support services has impacted the Clerk's Office operation in all areas from processing petitions filed for establishment, enforcement, genetic testing and all other related child support issues as well as "Clerk Services" reimbursement from the State. The Clerk's Office staff continues to work closely with Maximus to help meet and process the workload efficiently through this transition period.

In 2013, the Clerk's Office secured a grant contract with the State of Tennessee for a seventh consecutive year in the amount of \$961,724.44 for "service of process" in all Title IV-D child support cases. This grant shifts 66% of the total cost of funding fifteen full time positions to the State leaving the Clerk's Office budget responsible for the remaining 34%.

The Juvenile Court Clerk's Office and staff will continue to serve the public to the best of our ability with proven effective leadership, accountability and integrity.

## Collections Department

**Kathy Adams, *Manager***

The Collections Department within the Court Clerk's Office is responsible for the collection and processing of all monies assessed and paid through the Juvenile Court system. This includes child support payments by mail or in person, employer payrolls, all cash window activity generated from courtroom dockets, walk-ins, as well as fees and fines assessed non-judicially such as conferences, court costs, and traffic ticket violations. This area of the Clerk's Office also handles the writing of all appearance bonds, juvenile or adult, cash or surety, and collection and disbursement of court-ordered restitution payments.

Beginning in October 1999, most child support collections were re-directed to the "centralized collections" process through the State of Tennessee in Nashville. However, the Court Clerk's Office still processed \$3,089,138.15 in child support transactions in 2013. The Clerk's Office also received in 2013 a total of \$464,626.65 in state reimbursements for "Clerk Services" associated with these collections/transactions and filings under the IV-D Child Support Program.

## Accounting Department

Bill Pope, *Manager*  
Bernard Harty, *Accountant*

This department is responsible for accounting and internally auditing the bookkeeping procedures of the Collections Department on a daily and monthly basis. At the end of each month, collected revenue that has been deposited daily through the Shelby County Trustee's Office is remitted to the County Department of Finance to be deposited into the County general fund, and all account balances are reconciled to their respective bank statements. At the end of each fiscal year, reports are prepared for the state-mandated external audit by an independent accounting/auditing firm. In addition to these routine banking responsibilities, the Accounting Department along with the Clerk has the fiduciary responsibility of managing approximately 768 victims' compensation accounts. These funds, issued by the state Treasury Department under the Criminal Injuries Compensation Program, are put into interest-bearing trust accounts for minors, and currently total almost \$4,672,986.86 million.

## Minute Department

Karen Brooks, *Manager*  
LaDonna Graham, *Supervisor*

The minutes of the Juvenile Court constitute the official record of the Court. There are eleven Minute Clerks, who are responsible for recording all the Court's proceedings and decisions and for preparing Court orders and entering those orders in the minutes.

Minute Clerks attend sixty-five scheduled dockets weekly, in addition to special dockets, special hearings, and Foster Care Review Board. In 2013, there were approximately 100,400 pages of minutes written representing over 66,596 individual Juvenile Court orders.

## Cost Clerk's Department

Adreine Williams, *Supervisor*

As provided by law, court costs are assessed in all cases before the Court, and the Cost Clerk's Department is responsible for maintenance and collection of these fees. In 2013, collections totaled \$406,810.38.

## Central Records Department

Julia Wiseman, *Deputy Administrator*  
Freda Lewis, *Supervisor*

Central Records Department personnel are responsible for building, housing and securely maintaining all Juvenile Court records. Currently there are over 1,342,246 records housed in the Clerk's Office. In 2013, there were 9,513 legal records created and 2,200 social records created. This department also serves to provide assistance and information to the general public, court personnel, social service agencies, attorneys and law enforcement agencies by providing accurate and appropriate information required in the processing and handling of cases through the Juvenile Court.

## Bailiff's Department

Steven Anderson, *Chief Bailiff*  
William Kendrick, *Supervisor*

Juvenile Court Bailiffs are responsible for security within the sight and sound of the Court while maintaining proper courtroom decorum. They are also responsible for the safe and secure transport of juveniles and adults to various correctional and detention facilities. Bailiffs work with the certification of Special Deputy status issued by the Sheriff of Shelby County and respond to all emergencies that arise in the vicinity of Juvenile Court.

## Process/Parent Location

Calvin Walk, *Manager*  
Lori Reece, *Supervisor Parent Location*

The process Department is responsible for the service of all legal documents that require appearance in court. In 2013, 13,862 summons, subpoenas, and scire facias were successfully served. Except in rare and extreme cases, all documents are received ready for service by the Process Department within a minimum of five days prior to a scheduled court date.

The Parent Location Department is responsible for preparing jail arrest papers for the court dockets. In addition, direct access to the Sheriff's Department computer system known as the Jail Management Systems (JMS) allows staff to enter and update current status of all juvenile and adult warrants in a timely manner.

Staff in Parent Location funded under the IV-D State Contract is responsible for all tasks specific to the grant. First and foremost, this staff must enter time-sensitive data daily into the Tennessee Child Support Enforcement System relative to the status of service on all IV-D Child Support Cases.

## Juvenile Court Legal Department

**Larry Scroggs, *Chief Administrative Officer and Chief Counsel***

The Office of the Chief Counsel provides legal counsel to Court staff in pursuit of their administrative responsibilities. In addition, the Chief Counsel serves as legal liaison between Juvenile Court and the Office of the Shelby County Attorney. The Chief Counsel also serves as legal liaison between Juvenile Court and the state and federal government and legislative liaison between the Court and the General Assembly of the State of Tennessee. His office ensures compliance with and implementation of extensive federal and state regulations and legislation relating to the operation of Juvenile Court.

### **Advocate for Noncustodial Parents**

**Thomas W. Coupé, *Supervising Attorney***

**Marilyn Jones, *Attorney***

**Laurie Neale, *Attorney***

**Catherine Lewis, *Administrative Technician***

People representing themselves in court often need help. Judge Curtis S. Person recognized this need, and in 2006, the Office of the Advocate for Noncustodial Parents was established. Attorneys provide assistance to pro se litigants in understanding their rights and responsibilities under the law, and guidance in the use of the proper legal forms. Help is available with issues such as child support, paternity, custody, and visitation. This innovative office reflects Judge Person's commitment that Juvenile Court be open and accessible to the large number of persons who are self-represented. With 3,104 contacts in 2013, this office provided services to numerous parents and family members.

### **Judge's Action Center**

**Thomas W. Coupé, *Supervising Attorney***

**Yolanda Joshua, *Coordinator***

Judge Curtis S. Person created the Judge's Action Center in 2008 to serve as a liaison between Juvenile Court and the public to help answer questions or address concerns for people who have issues involving Juvenile Court or child welfare matters.

The Action Center provides helpful and timely answers for those who have questions concerning Juvenile Court, assists people with problems that have previously gone unresolved and receives suggestions on how to improve court operations.

### **Office of the Guardian ad litem**

**Belynda Dwyer, *Supervising Attorney***

**Sarah Kyle Gilmer, *Coordinator***

The Office of the Guardian ad litem is charged with assuring competent legal representation for children and parents in required Juvenile Court cases in compliance with Federal and State laws and Juvenile Court policy and procedure. The Office is also charged with serving as a liaison between Guardians ad litem, appointed counsel, private attorneys, the Tennessee Department of Children's Services, departments within Juvenile Court and other stake holders to promote the best practices and policies in children's cases.

The Attorney Coordinator facilitates the appointment of a Guardian ad litem, an appropriately trained and licensed lawyer, to advocate for the best interests of a child in any proceeding in which:

- the child has no parent, guardian or custodian appearing on the child's behalf
- the child's interest may conflict with those of parent, guardian, or custodian
- the child is alleged to be abused
- the allegation of harm falls within the mandatory child abuse reporting laws; or
- the proceeding is a contested termination of parental rights case

The Attorney Coordinator also facilitates the appointment of counsel for qualified indigent parents in dependency and neglect and termination of parental rights cases and qualified parents where application of parental rights cases and qualified parents where application of the Federal Civil Servicemember Act is needed.

In 2013, approximately 1,315 Guardians ad litem and 1,117 parents' counsel were appointed in children's cases.

## Juvenile Defender's Office

*Marilyn Sellers-Hobbs, Supervising Attorney*

The Juvenile Defender's office is operated under the auspices of the Shelby County Mayor's Office and managed by a Coordinator who supervises a staff of fifty-six (56) private attorneys known as the Juvenile Defender "Panel" - and one investigator. All attorneys are licensed to practice in the State of Tennessee with juvenile and criminal defense experience ranging from five (5) to thirty seven (37) years. The Panel defends juveniles charged with offenses ranging from disorderly conduct to truancy to capital murder.

The Defender's Office is appointed to provide legal defense to all indigent juveniles within 24 hours of their detention in delinquency matters, (offenses classified as felony and misdemeanor, unruly, paternity and truancy). In felony classified cases, (aggravated robbery, robbery, aggravated kidnapping, kidnapping, aggravated assault, rape and Murder I and II), Panel Attorneys work diligently toward a disposition that will retain the youth in the juvenile system for rehabilitation. When juveniles are transferred to the adult Criminal Justice System, the Defender's Office works directly with the Juvenile Division of the Shelby County Public Defender's Office and the Post Conviction Office to guard the legal rights of the juvenile(s). All cases classified as misdemeanor, unruly, paternity and truancy are heard in Juvenile Court and rehabilitative services are provided within the Juvenile system.

The Defender's office also works with the families, clergy, support organizations, schools and mental health facilities to protect the juvenile's interest and litigate for placement in the appropriate program for rehabilitation.

Defenders are also appointed to represent indigent adults, (both incarcerated and walk-ins), charged with Contempt of Court for either failure to pay Child Support or failure to comply with Parental Visitation Orders.

From January 1, 2013 thru December 31, 2013, the court rendered dispositions on 1,712 delinquency cases. The Juvenile Defender's Panel represented 1,566 or 91% of the cases assigned on the delinquency docket.

Private attorneys represented 146 or 9% of the cases. 1,272 of assigned cases would be considered

felonies and 440 assigned cases would be considered misdemeanors if classified as adult offenses. Approximately 15,000 adult defendants cited for failure to comply with Orders of Child Support docketed in Contempt proceedings also utilized services of the Defender's panel to represent their interest.

## Office of Clinical Services

*Sidney Ornduff, Ph. D.*

The Office of Clinical Services is responsible for conducting mental health and cognitive screenings, comprehensive psychological and specialized evaluations, and risk/needs assessments of Court-involved youth; preparing memoranda and psychological reports to assist with post-adjudicatory disposition of Court-involved youth; initiating referrals to crisis intervention teams for evaluation of detainees who may be at risk of injury to self or others; initiating referrals to the Tennessee Department of Children's Services (DCS) for alleged child maltreatment; preparation of transfer packets for youth waived to the criminal justice system; training of Court staff; and providing other services as requested by the Court. In 2013, there were 699 referrals to the Office of Clinical Services.

The Office of Clinical Services maintains a formal partnership with the University of Tennessee Health Science Center (UTHSC) through the University of Tennessee Professional Psychology Internship Consortium (UTPPIC). The training opportunities provided to future psychologists are consistently rated among the best of the clinical rotations available to pre-doctoral interns.

The primary objective of the Office of Clinical Services is to assist the Court with post-adjudicatory disposition based on identified mental health needs, consistent with the philosophy of the juvenile justice system.

## Court Services Division

Jerry W. Maness, *Director*

The Court Services Division includes the Children's Bureau, the Youth Services Bureau, the Detention Services Bureau and the Evaluation and Referral Bureau. It provides pre-adjudicatory and post-adjudicatory services to children brought to the attention of Juvenile Court. The Court Services Division is mandated by Tennessee Code Annotated, Title 37 to meet the following statutory requirements:

- Provide for the care, protection, and wholesome development of children coming within its provisions;
- Remove from children committing delinquent acts the taint of criminality and the consequences of criminal behavior and substitute a program of treatment, training and rehabilitation;
- Avoid whenever possible separating children from their parents only when necessary to protect the child's welfare or in the interest of public safety;
- Assure that all parties are assured a fair hearing and their constitutional and other legal rights are recognized and enforced;
- Deinstitutionalize children who have not been found to be delinquent;

In 2013, 15,103 children were brought to the attention of Juvenile Court on matters relating to delinquency, unruly behavior, and dependency and neglect. This is a slight decrease of 1.4% from 2012. An additional 2,952 children were issued traffic citations representing a 10% decrease over the previous year. While the number of referrals has dropped significantly over the past seven years, the Court continues to see more children diagnosed with serious mental health and physical issues that contribute to the difficulty of finding appropriate treatment and placement resources. The Office of Clinical Services provides valuable insight into the needs of children with mental health problems. The Evaluation and Referral Bureau is responsible for finding the appropriate mental health services ordered by the Court. They are also responsible for monitoring the progress of the treatment to ensure compliance of court orders.

**The Detention Services Bureau** admitted 3,863 children to the Detention Center in 2013. Of that number, 2,359 (63 percent) were released without being "officially admitted" to the Detention Center. Implementation of the Detention Assessment Tool (DAT) has assisted the Court in detaining only those

children who may be in danger of harming themselves or a danger to the community. Over the past four years, the Court has worked with the Memphis City Schools in the School House Adjustment Program Enterprise (SHAPE) to help reduce the number of children taken into custody from 22 targeted city schools. Data indicates that the SHAPE Program has helped reduce the number of children arrested at the targeted schools by more than 52%. The Juvenile Court has partnered with the Memphis Police Department and the Shelby County Sheriff's Department to implement the Juvenile Summons Program. This program requests that law enforcement officers issue juvenile summonses in lieu of physical arrest on seven designated offenses. Since the implementation of the Juvenile Summons Program, summonses represent 73% of children referred to Juvenile Court while physical arrests have dropped to 27%.

In September of 2013, the Court entered into a Memorandum of Agreement with the Shelby County Sheriff's Office to implement the Law Enforcement Assessment Pilot Call in Program (LEAP) to help reduce the number of children who are physically arrested and brought to Juvenile Court. Sheriff's deputies may call the Central Detention Admissions Office to seek assistance on the necessity of physical arrests. Juvenile Summonses may be issued in lieu of arrest. Officers may also seek advice on other options that would allow children to remain in their home or community placement pending review of their complaint. It is hopeful that the LEAP program can be expanded to the Memphis Police Department and other local law enforcement jurisdictions during 2014.

These efforts to reduce the number of physical arrests have resulted in the Juvenile Court being designated by the Annie E. Casey Foundation as a Juvenile Detention Alternatives (JDAI) site. While the Detention Services Bureau works to reduce the number of children detained, public safety and the safety of the children are always paramount. Every effort is made to place children in a safe and secure environment pending the outcome of their case.

**The Children's Bureau** was responsible for resolving 18,055 children's cases presented to the Court in 2013. This represents a 3% decrease from the

previous year's 18,605 children's cases. The Children's Bureau consists of the Corrective Services Department which handles cases of children charged with delinquent and traffic charges. The Protective Services Department handles children who are alleged to be dependent and neglected as well as custody and visitation matters. The probation counselors in the Children's Bureau work closely with the Tennessee Department of Children's Services, the Tennessee Department of Human Services and many local private agencies to ensure that the safest and least restrictive placements are made for all children. Counselors assigned to the Non-Custodial Diversion Section work with unruly children who have not yet been officially referred to the Court. Referrals to local child-serving agencies assist parents with counseling and behavior management programs.

**The Youth Services Bureau (YSB)** provides a post-adjudicatory continuum of graduated sanctions for children and allows them to remain in their homes under close supervision. During 2013, the Youth Services Bureau received 368 referrals, placing children under supervision in several different programs: Case Management, Intensive Case Management, Drug Offenders Control System (DOCS), and electronic monitoring to include voice recognition, radio frequency and Global Positioning Satellites (GPS). Case Management and Intensive Case Management are used for children needing a higher level of supervision. Case managers visit the children in their homes and schools to ensure that they are in compliance with the orders of the Court. The DOCS Program is designed to monitor children who have committed drug offenses or who are in need of drug education. Violators of any of these monitoring strategies are brought to the attention of the Juvenile Court Magistrates and may be subject to further sanctions for violations of the conditions of placement.

**The Evaluation and Referral Bureau (E&R)** works in collaboration with other bureaus at the Court to meet the ever-growing mental health needs of children coming to the attention of Juvenile Court. In 2013, E & R served 799 families and made over 1000 referrals to community service providers. Once the needs are identified, E & R moves quickly to find resources appropriate for the child and family. Many local child serving agencies provide an array of treatment services from inpatient care to outpatient group and individual therapeutic counseling. Compliance and progress are monitored by the probation counselors assigned to E&R.

Non-compliance with court ordered treatment may result in children and families being brought back to court.

## The Evaluation and Referral Bureau

*Davis Schuler, Administrator*

*Nancy Roll, Manager*

Recent research suggests that up to 70 percent of youth in the juvenile justice system meet the criteria for at least one mental health disorder.

Established in 1989, the Evaluation and Referral Section (E&R) is mandated with seeking treatment and rehabilitation of court involved youth. E&R recognizes that many children who present to the Court do so for a number of reasons, including having unidentified and/or untreated mental health, substance abuse or other special needs. These unmet needs gravely affect decision making and behavior. The E&R Bureau works closely with other areas of the Court to identify the needs specific to each family. Once these needs are identified, referrals are made to community service providers and compliance and progress in treatment are closely monitored. Ultimately, the goal of the Evaluation and Referral Bureau is to promote healthy development and resilience, reduce recidivism and assist youth in developing into productive members of society. Because each child is unique, and because multiple individuals, life circumstances and experiences effect development, the Evaluation and Referral Bureau takes a holistic, strength-based approach when working with Court-involved youth and families.

During the 2013 calendar year, 799 youth were referred to our Bureau for services.

Also in 2013, E&R participated in a Community-Based Learning Collaborative on Trauma-Focused Cognitive-Behavioral Therapy. TF-CBT is the most well-supported evidence-based treatment intervention for abused and traumatized children at this time. It has been evaluated in numerous rigorous research studies and is among the most well-established and widely disseminated mental health treatments for children. It was quite an honor to be asked to participate in this collaborative, and we are excited about the opportunity to reach out to this underserved population.

## **Children's Bureau**

**Barry L. Mitchell**, *Chief Probation Officer*

**Mamie Jones**, *Deputy Chief Probation Officer*

The Children's Bureau is responsible for handling a diverse caseload. The Corrective Services Department handles all delinquent matters while the Protective Services Department handles cases alleging dependency and neglect as well as visitation and custody issues. In 2013, the Children's Bureau handled 15,103 cases.

To handle such a diverse caseload, the Children's Bureau maintains a close working relationship with other departments of the Court. Additionally, the Children's Bureau works with law enforcement and child placement agencies outside the Court.

The Community Service Coordinator is responsible for developing resources for juveniles to perform volunteer work as ordered by the Court. This service allows juveniles to take responsibility for their actions and make restitution for their delinquent acts.

The Non-Custodial Diversion Section handles traffic, truancy, and unruly cases. Staff handles walk-in clients that are experiencing difficulty with the behavior of unruly children. Appropriate cases are referred to local agencies for counseling. During 2013, this section handled 357 unruly cases.

The Probation Section is responsible for the majority of pre-hearing investigations and casework in delinquency cases including juvenile summonses issued by law enforcement agencies throughout Shelby County. The Non-Custodial Diversion Section provides assistance with complaints of unruly children, processes truancy complaints, handles thousands of traffic violators each year, and coordinates the Community Services Program Mediation and Restitution/Reconciliation Services (MARRS).

The Community Service Program is a symbolic restitution program for children who have committed various types of offenses. Delinquent youth are placed with public and private non-profit agencies that provide work referrals to the program.

### **Corrective Services Department**

**Steven Allen**, *Manager*

**Donna Gray**, *Supervisor*

**Jacqueline Parson**, *Supervisor*

**Martha Rogers**, *Supervisor*

**Ruby Jones**, *Supervisor*

The Corrective Services Department, consisting of the Probation Section and the Non-Custodial Diversion Section, handles a wide range of offenses committed by juveniles. Dispositions in these cases vary from forfeitures and community service to probation, placement in the Youth Services Bureau, commitment to the Tennessee Department of Children's Services or transfer to Criminal Court.

## Protective Services Department

Faye M. Howard, *Manager*

Robert Blancett, *Supervisor*

Erica Glynn, *Interim Supervisor*

The Protective Services Department consists of probation counselors that are responsible for handling all matters that involve child abuse, neglect, custody and visitation. Protective Services counselors provide assistance to individuals and agencies, such as the Tennessee Department of Children's Services and other licensed child placing agencies. This department also provides assistance to private attorneys, court appointed attorneys, law enforcement and school officials to bring about a favorable resolution for the children we serve.

In 2013, Protective Services provided assistance to approximately 8,100 children and 5,590 individual clients. A total of 3,968 complaints were processed including 1,681 dependency and neglect complaints, 1,121 custody and visitation complaints, and 1,166 complaints dealing with other related matters.

The probation counselors ensure all cases are set on the docket to be heard by a magistrate or the Judge. The probation counselors are also responsible for making referrals to the Tennessee Department of Children's Services on each case that comes into their office so that the allegations on the petitions may be properly investigated and the results can be presented to the Judge or Magistrate that hears the case. The probation counselors also make referrals to the Office of Guardian ad litem.

The Protective Services Department also assists clients with obtaining protective custody orders for removing children from environments or persons that are found to be an eminent harm or danger to the child. Probation Counselors in this department assist noncustodial parents in filing petitions for visitation of children born out of wedlock. This department is responsible for assisting clients in obtaining marriage waivers for minors. Obtaining emergency medical treatment orders for doctors to provide medical

treatment to children in life threatening situations is also a very important responsibility of this department.

The Protective Services Department works closely with the Tennessee Department of Children's Services, Court Appointed Special Advocates, private attorneys, law enforcement personnel, Memphis and Shelby County School officials, and private child placing agencies. The staff also works closely with other organizations such as the Child Protection Investigation Team housed at the Child Advocacy Center. The Child Protection Investigation Team is a coordinated interagency multidisciplinary response to prevent, detect, investigate, treat and prosecute child sexual abuse and severe physical abuse. Protective Services also played an important role in the Department of Justice's Defending Childhood Initiative locally known as NOVA (Network for Overcoming Violence and Abuse). This initiative addresses children's exposure to violence. Goals are to prevent children's exposure to violence as victims and witnesses, mitigate the negative effects experienced by children exposed to violence, and develop knowledge and increase awareness of this initiative. In 2013, this department became a member of the Shelby County Interagency Domestic Violence Fatality Review Team. This team assists local agencies in identifying and reviewing domestic abuse deaths, including homicides and suicides, and facilitating communication among the various agencies involved in domestic abuse cases. Members of this team include personnel from the coroner's office, law enforcement, District Attorney's office, Family Safety Center, Department of Children's Services, and other governmental and private agencies.

## Youth Services Bureau

**C. Wain Rubenstein, *Administrator***

**Frances Gonzales, *Deputy Administrator***

The mission of the Youth Services Bureau is to develop, manage and supervise community-based programs for youth in Shelby County who require supervision by the Court. Using a sensible, not sanctimonious approach, the goals of the Youth Services Bureau are to correct, re-educate, redirect and habilitate delinquent youth who come before the Court.

When a youth is taken into custody for a delinquent offense in Shelby county they must answer to those charges in the Juvenile Court of Memphis and Shelby County. There are various ways these cases are handled including a court hearing. Prior to going to court, the Youth Services Bureau reviews the case to see if it is appropriate for YSB or should something else be recommended. A number of factors are taken into consideration when approving a case for YSB placement, one of which is does the youth have a home to reside in? All children placed in YSB reside in the home of their parent of guardian, and are monitored by YSB case managers.

YSB uses strength based individual placement plan and assessment chart, and Community Risk Assessment. This is developed through an interview with the parent/guardian and child, and a review of the youth's Juvenile Court file. After this information is assembled a "staffing" is held involving the bureau administrators, supervisor, the assessment services coordinator, and case managers. A consensus is reached as to which program will best benefit the youth and family. Based on the assessment, the youth is placed on Case Management, Drug Offenders Control System (DOCS), or Intensive Case Management (ICM). Electronics means such as voice verification, ankle bracelets with Radio Frequency (RF), or GPS tracking monitor those that require it.

Youth who do not require an intense level of supervision are placed on Case Management. These youth are monitored using state of the art electronic technology such as those mentioned above. The various levels of monitoring are used as graduated sanctions for those youth in need of closer supervision. Additionally, these youth are required to be at home, except when they go to school, attending church, having a doctor's appointment, or other special occasions approved by their case manager.

Drug Offenders Control System (DOCS) is designed to monitor youth that have committed drug offenses or are in need of drug education treatment. Youth follow the same rules as Case Management and additionally must attend a community-based alcohol and drug program along with their parents. All children are randomly tested for drugs by urinalysis. After the youth have successfully completed their home confinement, drug education class and have clean drug screens they are discharged from the program.

Youth who require closer supervision are assigned to Intensive Case Management. A specific case manager, who makes home visits, school visits, and helps secure community resources that may assist the youth from having further contact with the Court, supervises these cases. The case manager may also use some form of electronic monitoring if they feel it is called for. Each case manager supervises a caseload of approximately 12 to 15 cases, which affords them the ability to interact with each client on a much closer basis.

As previously mentioned the various forms of electronic monitoring are used as a graduated sanction. A youth may start out on voice verification, but later be moved to a higher level of electronic monitoring, such as RF or GPS, if this level of supervision proves to be ineffective.

### Children consigned to the YSB in 2013 compared to the previous nine years:

	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013
Total	961	970	931	985	791	669	579	576	624	368

Youth placed on voice verification are required to have a template of their voice made by reciting various words and phrases to the monitoring station. Once the youth returns home they will be called on a random bases several time per day, and must repeat various words and phrases as prompted by the monitoring station. If there is no answer, or the voice does not match the template, the monitoring station will report the violation to the case manager, who will take the appropriate action in dealing with the violation. When a youth is placed on RF a signal is sent to the monitoring station, when the youth leaves home, and likewise upon their return. If the absence is unauthorized, once again the monitoring station will notify the case manager via the Internet. GPS monitoring is the highest level of electronic monitoring. These youth may be traced using the Internet, which will show their movement on a map, and each location the youth visits. By using GPS both inclusion and exclusion zones may be established. This allows the case manager to determine if a youth has left a location they are required to be at, such as school, or they are in a location that is off limits to them.

The Youth Services Bureau continues it's collaborative efforts with community-based initiatives such as Juvenile Intervention and Faith Based Follow-up (JIFF) program, which provides counseling and mentoring services to youth in selected zip codes. YSB marked its tenth year of involvement with JIFF this year. Youth assigned to YSB requiring mental health and substance abuse services are referred to the Court's Evaluation and Referral Bureau, who will make a referral to a community-based treatment resource. YSB will continue with new initiatives in 2014 with the School Based Probation Liaison Initiative in partnership with the Shelby County Schools. Teachers in twenty-one schools will act as a liaison for youth placed on court-supervised probation or in the Youth Services Bureau. They will address educational and behavioral problems a youth may be having in school and work with the assigned auxiliary probation officer or YSB case manager in addressing these issues.

**Office of Outcome Evaluation  
and Performance Improvement**  
*Debra Monroe-Lax, Research Specialist, MPA*

In 2005, the Office of Outcome Evaluation and Performance Improvement (OEPI) was established to augment the existing statistical data collection efforts of Juvenile Court. This office will gather and examine data relevant to the many duties and activities of the Court Services Division in order to assist in the continuous examination of systemic performance and to measure the effectiveness of:

- intervention initiatives of delinquent youth whose Court case proceedings result in adjudication or are handled non-judicially
- the level of monitoring and supervision according to dispositions and referral sources
- the impact of interventions based on youth development, accountability, and/or public safety

Ongoing trend analysis will assist the Court in planning for the timely and appropriate allocation of resources to manage the changing needs of an unpredictable caseload. Informative internal evaluations serve to provide objective and quantifiable data to promote, support, and guide development and sustainability of effective program interventions offered by the Detention Services Bureau, Children's Bureau, Youth Services Bureau, and the Evaluation and Referral Bureau, and Volunteer Services Bureau.

As of the Memorandum Agreement with the U.S. Department of Justice (December 2012) to address remedial measures with the Court, the OEPI is assisting with addressing equal protection issues relative to Disproportionate Minority Contact.



## **Detention Services Bureau**

Gary Cummings, *Administrator*

Robert Stanley, *Deputy Administrator*

Willie Walton, *Manager*

Deborah Bennett, *Contracts and Compliance Manager*

Detention Services Bureau (DSB) is designed for those juveniles who are a threat to themselves, public safety, or who are a flight risk. DSB is a 135 bed single occupancy secure Detention Center. Children are usually held for a short period of time awaiting court hearings or further placement decisions. While housed at the Detention Center, supervised juveniles are provided education, recreation, health assessments, counseling, and other intervention services. The Juvenile Court, in collaboration with Memphis City Schools, provides an education program for all detained juveniles in the Detention Center. Highly trained staff provide for the care, safety, welfare, and security of every child in the custody of the Court. It is the expectation that DSB ensures that every child is protected from harm and self incrimination by ensuring Due Process. In 2013, DSB admitted 3,863 children with 2,359 children being released to a parent or guardian.

The Detention Center is comprised of three units: Boys' Detention, Girls' Detention, and Central Detention Control. DSB is a twenty-four hour, seven day a week, 365 days a year operation. DSB necessitates a staffing requirement ratio of 1 to 8 during awaking hours, and 1 to 12 during sleeping hours.

The Detention Center has partnered with the Annie E. Casey foundation to work towards developing alternatives to detention, thus reducing the number of children from being introduced to the Juvenile Justice System. All children admitted to the Detention Center are informed of their rights and their charge(s). Children who are admitted to the Detention Center are appointed counsel by request.

On August 1, 2013, DSB contracted with Correct Care Solutions (CCS) to provide twenty-four(24) hour medical and mental health services to all youth in the custody of Juvenile Court.

The Detention Services Bureau will continue to strive to work towards improving its efforts in the reduction of

children being introduced into the Juvenile Justice System, by adhering to national and federal best practices used throughout the United States.

### **Hope Academy**


Michael Smith, *Program Manager*

Hope Academy is a partnership with Shelby County Juvenile Court, and Memphis City Schools that provides a quality education to address the academic and social needs of students detained at Juvenile Court. All educational programs are staffed by certificated Memphis City School staff in the following subjects: Science, Social Studies, Math, and English. The outcome of HOPE Academy will focus on academic success; drop out prevention, recovery of out-of-school youth, increased graduation rates, educational, emotional and counseling services and diversion from criminal justice system.

## Detention Center Juveniles Detained By Type

TEN-YEAR COMPARISON

### Juveniles Detained by Type 2013



#### Average Number of Days in Detention Center


Delinquent	12.15
Special	3.41
Transient	3.63
Unruly	0.75

Total Average Days for All Categories 11.77

## Institutional and Agency Placements


### Delinquency Placements

TEN-YEAR COMPARISON




### Dependency and Neglect Placements

TEN-YEAR COMPARISON




### Traffic Violators 2013


Traffic Violators by Age 2013



Traffic Violators by Race 2013



Traffic Violators by Sex 2013



### Traffic Citations

Citations Received	Amount of Forfeitures	Citations		Total Required Conferences	Conferences Held	Handled		
		Closed	Open			Non-Judicially	Handled Judicially	
Totals	2,971	\$102,096.75	2,741	228	1,008	1,005	2,971	0

The Juvenile Court of Memphis and Shelby County has waived jurisdiction in all cases in which a child sixteen (16) years of age or over is charged by officers of the Shelby County municipalities of, Collierville, Germantown, and Millington with violation of a state traffic law or a municipal traffic ordinance, except when a child is taken into custody in connection with the offense, in which case the child must be brought to the Juvenile Court Detention Center. Juvenile Court expressly retains jurisdiction over all juveniles charged with traffic law violations by other law enforcement agencies within Shelby County.

# Children's Bureau

## Juvenile Complaints By Month


Complaint Level

Month	DELINQUENT		UNRULY		DEPENDENT		OTHER		Total
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	
January	460	186	91	43	111	110	49	50	1,100
February	482	225	78	53	118	117	58	51	1,182
March	545	249	153	82	107	124	55	39	1,354
April	498	228	133	66	158	165	78	53	1,379
May	642	258	123	37	118	124	53	35	1,390
June	586	205	162	75	106	131	47	39	1,351
July	524	166	100	32	144	167	39	46	1,218
August	566	189	81	24	166	183	58	54	1,321
September	586	244	109	26	116	127	54	37	1,299
October	644	286	108	46	133	114	36	29	1,396
November	529	194	99	28	92	98	44	36	1,120
December	422	191	68	31	82	106	44	49	993
<b>Total</b>	<b>6,484</b>	<b>2,621</b>	<b>1,305</b>	<b>543</b>	<b>1,451</b>	<b>1,566</b>	<b>615</b>	<b>518</b>	<b>15,103</b>


Month	White		Black		Other		Total
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	
January	112	61	588	318	11	10	1,100
February	114	67	612	370	10	9	1,182
March	109	56	740	429	11	9	1,354
April	123	70	733	430	11	12	1,379
May	120	65	798	371	18	18	1,390
June	111	66	778	379	12	5	1,351
July	108	48	683	351	16	12	1,218
August	92	57	770	380	9	13	1,321
September	115	67	730	355	20	12	1,299
October	91	60	814	409	16	6	1,396
November	96	54	657	285	11	17	1,120
December	93	31	515	339	8	7	993
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,284</b>	<b>702</b>	<b>8,418</b>	<b>4,416</b>	<b>153</b>	<b>130</b>	<b>15,103</b>

### Juvenile Complaints By Type

#### TEN-YEAR COMPARISON



#### Juvenile Complaints 2013



Other categories include Custody, School Admissions, Visitation and Traffic Charges that are not considered delinquent.

# Children's Bureau

## Juvenile Complaints By Age

Complaint Level

Age	DELINQUENT		UNRULY		DEPENDENT		OTHER		Total
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	
00	0	0	0	0	133	143	43	57	376
01	0	0	0	0	85	88	63	42	278
02	0	0	0	0	91	88	40	36	255
03	0	0	0	0	103	98	43	34	278
04	0	0	0	0	72	90	36	38	236
05	1	2	8	9	89	109	41	31	290
06	9	1	20	11	95	87	35	35	293
07	13	2	6	11	99	86	34	24	275
08	43	2	9	15	95	78	24	25	291
09	47	12	11	11	56	75	28	27	267
10	89	22	14	8	57	72	21	30	313
11	166	64	22	12	74	79	27	19	463
12	310	161	36	18	63	77	20	29	714
13	528	223	68	30	64	77	18	22	1,030
14	838	398	181	58	71	84	32	20	1,682
15	1,222	593	232	101	76	85	30	17	2,356
16	1,436	606	357	143	73	91	46	13	2,765
17	1,763	534	338	116	54	59	34	19	2,917
18	16	1	3	0	1	0	0	0	21
19	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
23	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
<b>Total</b>	<b>6,484</b>	<b>2,621</b>	<b>1,305</b>	<b>543</b>	<b>1,451</b>	<b>1,566</b>	<b>615</b>	<b>518</b>	<b>15,103</b>

Age	White		Black		Other		Total
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	
00	32	37	134	152	10	11	376
01	24	26	119	96	5	8	278
02	18	19	102	101	11	4	255
03	29	23	113	99	4	10	278
04	15	22	86	98	7	8	236
05	17	25	118	116	4	10	290
06	18	25	133	104	8	5	293
07	25	22	119	97	8	4	275
08	22	17	146	98	3	5	291
09	16	16	125	104	1	5	267
10	13	13	159	114	9	5	313
11	29	10	255	161	5	3	463
12	33	14	391	266	5	5	714
13	45	22	624	315	9	15	1,030
14	109	55	995	500	18	5	1,682
15	161	89	1,389	698	10	9	2,356
16	306	144	1,587	701	19	8	2,765
17	372	123	1,800	595	17	10	2,917
18	0	0	20	1	0	0	21
19	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
23	0	0	2	0	0	0	2
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,284</b>	<b>702</b>	<b>8,418</b>	<b>4,416</b>	<b>153</b>	<b>130</b>	<b>15,103</b>

Other categories include Custody, School Admissions, Visitation and Traffic Charges that are not considered delinquent.

# Children's Bureau

## Referral Reasons

Incident Level

	White		Black		Other		Total
	Males	Females	Males	Females	Males	Females	
<b>CS/CUST./VISIT Allegations</b>							
CUSTODY	53	44	250	208	11	14	580
VISITATION	34	31	155	161	6	8	395
<b>Sub Total:</b>	<b>87</b>	<b>75</b>	<b>405</b>	<b>369</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>975</b>
<b>Delinquent Allegations</b>							
911 CALLS IN NON-EMERGENCY SITUATIONS PROHIBITED	1	0	2	2	0	0	5
ACCESSORY AFTER THE FACT-FELONY	1	0	1	0	0	0	2
AGGRAVATED ARSON	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT	22	2	142	24	3	0	193
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT - MISD A	1	0	1	0	0	0	2
AGGRAVATED BURGLARY	29	4	351	6	4	0	394
AGGRAVATED CHILD ABUSE	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
AGGRAVATED CRIMINAL TRESPASS	0	0	30	2	0	0	32
AGGRAVATED KIDNAPPING	0	0	13	0	1	0	14
AGGRAVATED RAPE	0	0	3	0	0	0	3
AGGRAVATED RAPE OF A CHILD	0	0	6	0	0	0	6
AGGRAVATED RIOT	0	0	3	0	0	0	3
AGGRAVATED ROBBERY	8	0	127	2	3	0	140
AGGRAVATED SEXUAL BATTERY	20	0	49	2	0	0	71
AGGRAVATED SEXUAL EXPLOITATION OF A MINOR	1	0	7	9	0	0	17
ALT/FALS/FRG AUTO TITLE/PLATE	0	0	2	1	0	0	3
ARSON	2	1	3	0	0	0	6
ASSAULT	89	31	1090	916	4	8	2138
ASSAULT ON A POLICE OFFICER	0	0	4	1	0	0	5
ATTEMPT - AGGRAVATED BURGLARY	1	0	41	1	0	0	43
ATTEMPT - AGGRAVATED ROBBERY	2	0	20	0	0	0	22
ATTEMPT - ASSAULT	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
ATTEMPT - BURGLARY OF A BUILDING	0	0	3	0	0	0	3
ATTEMPT - BURGLARY OF A VEHICLE	0	0	2	0	0	0	2
ATTEMPT - ESPECIALLY AGGRAVATED KIDNAPPING	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
ATTEMPT - INCEST	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
ATTEMPT - MFG/DEL/SELL/POSS W/INT-COC	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
ATTEMPT - MURDER 1ST DEGREE	0	0	23	1	0	0	24
ATTEMPT - MURDER 2ND DEGREE	0	0	8	0	0	0	8
ATTEMPT - RAPE OF A CHILD	0	0	2	0	0	0	2
ATTEMPT - ROBBERY	0	0	20	0	0	0	20
ATTEMPT - THEFT OF PROPERTY \$1,000 TO \$9,999	2	0	4	0	0	0	6
ATTEMPT - THEFT OF PROPERTY \$10,000 TO \$59,999	0	0	2	0	0	0	2
ATTEMPT - THEFT OF PROPERTY \$500 OR LESS	2	0	6	0	0	0	8
BURGLARY OF A BUILDING	2	0	75	1	0	0	78

It should be noted that all offenses filed at Juvenile Court (excluding traffic citations) are reflected in this section. A child may be charged with multiple offenses on any given complaint.

# Children's Bureau

## Referral Reasons

Incident Level

Delinquent Allegations	White		Black		Other		Total
	Males	Females	Males	Females	Males	Females	
BURGLARY OF A VEHICLE	18	0	73	1	0	0	92
CARJACKING	0	0	8	0	0	0	8
CARRY WEAPON IN PARK/REC AREA	0	0	2	0	0	0	2
CARRY WEAPON ON SCHOOL CAMPUS	13	2	75	32	2	0	124
CLINGING TO MOVING VEHICLE	0	0	2	0	0	0	2
COERCION OF A WITNESS	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
COMMUNICATING A THREAT CONCERNING A SCHOOL EMPLOYEE	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
CONTEMPT OF COURT - DEL	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
CONTRABAND INTO PENAL INST	1	0	4	0	0	0	5
CONTRIBUTING TO THE DELINQUENCY OF A MINOR	0	1	2	0	0	0	3
CRIMINAL CONSPIRACY TO COMMIT A FELONY	3	0	5	0	2	0	10
CRIMINAL IMPERSONATION	1	2	14	7	1	0	25
CRIMINAL SIMULATION (\$1,000 - \$9,999)	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
CRIMINAL SIMULATION (UP TO \$1,000)	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
CRIMINAL TRESPASSING	48	13	689	147	3	0	900
CRUELTY TO ANIMALS	0	0	3	0	0	0	3
DEFACING PUBLIC PROPERTY AND WORKS	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
DESTRUCTION OR INTERFERENCE WITH UTILITY LINES	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
DISORDERLY CONDUCT	29	11	680	416	3	3	1142
DOG RUNNING AT LARGE	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
DOMESTIC ASSAULT	40	31	485	313	6	10	885
DRIVING UNDER THE INFLUENCE	2	3	0	0	0	0	5
DRIVING WHILE LICENSE REVOKED, SUSPENDED OR CANCELLED	8	4	48	15	0	0	75
DRIVING WITHOUT A LICENSE	3	0	6	1	0	0	10
DRUG FREE SCHOOL ZONE	2	0	6	1	0	0	9
DUTY UPON STRIKING FIXTURES UPON A HIGHWAY	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
ESCAPE	3	1	12	3	0	0	19
ESP. AGGRAVATED SEXUAL EXPLOITATION OF A MINOR	0	0	2	3	0	0	5
ESPECIALLY AGGRAVATED BURGLARY	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
ESPECIALLY AGGRAVATED KIDNAPPING	0	0	2	0	0	0	2
ESPECIALLY AGGRAVATED ROBBERY	0	0	3	0	0	0	3
EVADING ARREST - FELONY	1	0	3	0	0	0	4
EVADING ARREST - MISDEMEANOR	16	4	189	15	3	0	227
EXHIBIT FALSE IDENTIFICATION	1	0	0	1	0	0	2
FACILITATION OF A FELONY	0	3	19	4	3	0	29
FACILITATION OF FELONY CRIMINAL ATTEMPT	0	0	2	0	0	0	2
FAILURE TO COMPLY W/COMM SERVICE	0	0	3	1	0	0	4
FAILURE TO REPORT AN ACCIDENT	1	0	0	0	0	0	1

# Children's Bureau

## Referral Reasons

Incident Level

Delinquent Allegations	White		Black		Other		Total
	Males	Females	Males	Females	Males	Females	
FALSE IMPRISONMENT	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
FALSE REPORTING BOMB OR FIRE	0	0	5	1	0	0	6
FALSE REPORTING TO AUTHORITY	1	0	7	6	0	0	14
FIRST DEGREE MURDER IN PERPETRATION OF FELONY	0	0	6	0	0	0	6
FORGERY	2	0	2	0	0	0	4
FORGERY (\$1,000 - \$9,999)	0	0	0	2	0	0	2
FRAUDULENT USE / ALTERED DRIVER'S LICENSE	0	1	0	1	0	0	2
FUGITIVE FROM JUSTICE	1	1	2	0	0	0	4
GAMBLING	0	0	51	1	0	0	52
GIVING FALSE INFO TO POLICE	1	2	2	5	0	0	10
HARASSMENT	0	0	14	2	0	0	16
HOLD FOR MATERIAL WITNESS IN A FELONY	0	0	1	1	0	0	2
IDENTITY THEFT	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
ILLEGAL POSS OR FRAUD USE - CREDIT/DEBIT CARD \$500 <	0	0	4	1	0	0	5
IMPROPER DISPLAY OF REGISTRATION PLATES	2	1	4	0	0	0	7
IMPROPER STATE REGISTRATION	8	2	21	4	0	0	35
INCEST	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
INCITING TO RIOT	0	0	32	6	0	0	38
INDECENT EXPOSURE	2	0	26	2	0	0	30
INTERFERENCE WITH EMERGENCY CALLS	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
JAYWALKING	0	0	7	0	0	0	7
JOYRIDING	2	0	7	10	1	0	20
JUVENILE IN POSSESSION OF HANDGUN	0	0	16	1	0	0	17
KIDNAPPING	0	0	6	0	0	0	6
LEAVING THE SCENE OF AN ACCIDENT	2	0	8	4	1	0	15
LITTERING	4	0	12	0	0	0	16
LOUD MUSIC	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
MAILBOX TAMPERING	0	0	6	0	0	0	6
MAKING FIRES	0	0	5	5	0	0	10
MALICIOUS MISCHIEF	0	0	2	0	0	0	2
MFG IMITATION CONTROLLED SUB	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
MFG/DEL/SELL/POSS W/INT-COC	1	0	11	0	0	0	12
MFG/DEL/SELL/POSS W/INT-MARJ	9	0	46	4	0	1	60
MFG/DEL/SELL/POSS W/INT-OTHER	18	0	58	1	0	1	78
MINOR IN POSSESSION OF ALCOHOL	80	39	15	2	3	1	140
MINOR POSSESSION OF INTOXICATING LIQUOR	13	8	2	0	1	0	24
MISREP COUNTERFEIT DRUGS	0	0	3	0	0	0	3
MISUSE OF EVIDENCE OF REGISTRATION	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
MURDER - 1ST DEGREE	0	0	4	0	0	0	4

# Children's Bureau

## Referral Reasons

Incident Level

Delinquent Allegations	White		Black		Other		Total
	Males	Females	Males	Females	Males	Females	
NO STATE DRIVER LICENSE	48	6	75	21	4	0	154
OBSERVATION WITHOUT CONSENT	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
OBSTRUCTING HWY/PASSAGEWAY	2	3	178	36	0	0	219
OBSTRUCTION OF LAW ENFORCEMENT	1	0	0	1	0	0	2
OFFENSE OF HARBORING OR HIDING A RUNAWAY CHILD	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
PANHANDLING	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
PEDESTRIANS ON ROADWAYS	2	0	26	7	0	0	35
POSS PROHIBITED WEAPON - FELONY	2	0	6	0	0	0	8
POSS PROHIBITED WEAPON - MISDEMEANOR	3	1	13	1	0	0	18
POSS / EMPLOY FIREARM DURING COMM / ATTEMPT DANGEROUS FELONY	1	0	20	0	0	0	21
POSS OF LEGEND DRUG WITHOUT PRESCRIPTION	7	5	22	5	0	0	39
POSS OF TOOLS TO INTERFERE WITH ANIT-THEFT SECURITY DEVICE	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
POSS/RESTRICT USE OF FIREWORKS	0	0	5	0	0	0	5
POSS/SELL ITEM W/ALT SER NUM	3	1	12	0	0	0	16
POSSESSION OF A CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE ANALOGUE	2	1	6	1	1	0	11
POSSESSION OF BURGLARY TOOLS	3	0	19	0	0	0	22
POSSESSION OF GAMBLING DEVICE	0	0	7	0	0	0	7
POSSESSION OF IMITATION FIREARM	0	0	9	0	0	0	9
PUBLIC INDECENCY	1	1	2	0	0	0	4
PUBLIC INTOXICATION - ALCOHOL	16	8	2	1	1	0	28
PUBLIC INTOXICATION - OTHER	12	3	35	4	3	0	57
RAPE	1	0	2	0	0	0	3
RAPE OF A CHILD	30	0	37	0	0	0	67
RECKLESS BURNING	0	0	3	0	0	0	3
RECKLESS DRIVING	17	1	18	4	1	0	41
RECKLESS ENDANGERMENT	4	0	20	1	0	0	25
RECKLESS ENDANGERMENT W/WEAPON	0	1	12	0	0	0	13
RECKLESS HOMICIDE	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
REFUSAL TO SUBMIT TO BAC TEST	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
REG DRUG/GANG - LING/LOITERING	0	0	3	0	0	0	3
RESISTING (ARREST,STOP,FRISK)	17	4	87	29	1	1	139
RETALIATION FOR PAST ACTION	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
ROBBERY	1	0	103	5	3	0	112
SALE OF TOBACCO TO A MINOR	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
SALE TO MINORS PROHIBITED	0	0	2	1	0	0	3
SET FIRE-PERSONAL PROPERTY/LND	2	0	2	0	0	0	4
SEXUAL BATTERY	2	0	20	0	1	0	23
SEXUAL EXPLOITATION OF A MINOR	0	0	10	5	0	0	15

# Children's Bureau

## Referral Reasons

Incident Level

Delinquent Allegations	White		Black		Other		Total
	Males	Females	Males	Females	Males	Females	
SIM POSS/CASUAL EXCH-COCAINE	2	0	1	1	0	0	4
SIM POSS/CASUAL EXCH-MARJ	139	27	427	42	4	0	639
SIM POSS/CASUAL EXCH-OTHER	9	1	12	1	0	0	23
SOLICITATION	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
SOLICITING RIDES FROM ROADWAY	0	0	3	0	0	0	3
STALKING	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
TAMPER / FABRICATE EVIDENCE	1	0	6	1	0	1	9
THEFT OF PROPERTY \$1,000-\$9,999	16	2	123	6	0	0	147
THEFT OF PROPERTY \$1,000-\$9,999 - VEHICLE	6	0	20	2	0	0	28
THEFT OF PROPERTY \$10,000-\$59,999	0	1	12	0	0	0	13
THEFT OF PROPERTY \$10,000-\$59,999 - VEHICLE	0	0	7	0	0	0	7
THEFT OF PROPERTY \$500 OR LESS	89	63	713	425	10	6	1306
THEFT OF PROPERTY \$500 OR LESS - VEHICLE	0	0	3	0	0	0	3
THEFT OF PROPERTY \$501-\$999	17	1	154	20	0	0	192
THEFT OF PROPERTY \$501-\$999 - VEHICLE	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
THEFT OF SERV- \$500 OR LESS	0	0	1	2	0	0	3
UNAUTHORIZED USE - MOTOR VEH	4	1	11	2	0	0	18
UNDERAGE CONSUMPTION/POSSESSION OF ALCOHOL	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
UNDERAGE DRIVING WHILE IMPAIRED	5	4	0	0	0	0	9
UNLAWFUL ASSEMBLY	1	0	2	0	0	0	3
UNLAWFUL POSSESSION / SALE LEGEND DRUG	0	0	2	0	0	0	2
UNLAWFUL POSSESSION DRUG PARAPHERNALIA	7	2	3	0	0	0	12
UNLAWFUL POSSESSION DRUG PARAPHERNALIA - MISDEMEANOR	116	15	40	3	1	0	175
UNLAWFUL POSSESSION OF WEAPON MISDMN	12	2	113	9	0	0	136
UNLAWFUL POSSESSION OF WEAPON - FELONY	5	0	31	1	0	0	37
UNLAWFUL PUR/RECPT/POSS ALCOHOL	2	2	0	0	1	0	5
UNLAWFUL REMOVAL OF REGISTRATION DECAL/PLATES	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
VANDALISM (\$1,000 - \$9,999)	10	0	19	6	0	0	35
VANDALISM (\$10,000 - \$59,999)	3	0	2	0	0	0	5
VANDALISM - \$ 500 OR LESS	48	7	308	60	2	0	425
VANDALISM - (\$ 501 - \$999)	12	0	83	9	1	0	105
VEHICULAR ASSAULT	0	3	0	0	0	0	3
VIOL OF HOME PLACEMENT / AFTERCARE	0	0	3	2	0	0	5
VIOL OF OPEN CONTAINER LAW	5	1	0	0	0	0	6
VIOL OF PARK CURFEW	0	1	8	7	0	0	16
VIOLATION OF PROBATION	1	0	6	2	0	0	9
VIOLATION OF PROBATION - PEN	2	1	1	1	0	0	5
VIOLATION OF PROBATION - YSB	2	1	32	4	0	0	39
VIOLATION OF PROTECTION ORDER OR RESTRAINING	0	0	0	1	0	0	1

# Children's Bureau

## Referral Reasons

Incident Level

	White		Black		Other		Total
	Males	Females	Males	Females	Males	Females	
<b>Delinquent Allegations</b>							
VIOLATION OF RESTRICTED DRIVERS LICENSE	3	0	8	6	0	0	17
VIOLATION OF STATE REGISTRATION LAW	4	0	6	0	1	0	11
VOLUNTARY MANSLAUGHTER	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
<b>Sub Total:</b>	1231	339	7699	2726	79	32	12106
<b>Dependent and Neglect Allegations</b>							
CONTEMPT OF COURT - DEP	10	14	50	65	4	3	146
DEPENDENT AND NEGLECTED	116	132	670	679	43	41	1681
GUARDIANSHIP	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
PET. FOR RESTRAINING ORDER	1	2	2	3	0	1	9
PETITION TO INVESTIGATE	0	0	3	4	0	0	7
PETITION TO MODIFY	53	81	470	509	14	22	1149
TERMINATION - PARENTAL RIGHTS	0	1	0	1	0	0	2
TRANSFER FROM OTHER COURT	0	0	3	4	0	0	7
VOLUNTARY FOSTERCARE PLMT	1	0	11	8	0	1	21
<b>Sub Total:</b>	181	230	1209	1273	62	68	3023
<b>Other Allegations</b>							
PARENTAL EDUCATIONAL NEGLECT	16	9	49	41	4	0	119
PARENTAL EDUCATIONAL NEGLECT - FAILURE TO APPEAR	0	0	1	1	0	0	2
<b>Sub Total:</b>	16	9	50	42	4	0	121
<b>Runaway Allegations</b>							
RUNAWAY - IN STATE	1	4	6	10	0	0	21
<b>Sub Total:</b>	1	4	6	10	0	0	21
<b>School Exemption Allegations</b>							
SCHOOL EXEMPTION	0	1	8	2	0	0	11
<b>Sub Total:</b>	0	1	8	2	0	0	11
<b>Special Allegations</b>							
HOLD FOR INS - DEL	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
HOLD FOR OTHER AGENCY - DEL	12	5	21	1	1	0	40
HOLD FOR TN D C S - DEL	0	0	4	2	0	0	6
<b>Sub Total:</b>	13	5	25	3	1	0	47
<b>Transient Allegations</b>							
RUNAWAY - OUT OF STATE	0	4	1	3	0	2	10
<b>Sub Total:</b>	0	4	1	3	0	2	10
<b>Unruly Allegations</b>							
MINOR IN POSSESSION OF TOBACCO	44	8	76	5	2	0	135
TRUANCY	105	51	500	247	10	7	920
TRUANCY VIOLATOR	0	0	5	1	0	0	6
UNLAWFUL POSSESSION SMOKING PARAPHERNALIA	0	1	6	0	0	0	7
VIOLATION OF CURFEW	151	93	611	172	8	3	1038
<b>Sub Total:</b>	300	153	1198	425	20	10	2106

All information contained in the General Social Data Report is gathered during interview phases and is unverified.

# Children's Bureau

## Referral Reasons

Incident Level

Traffic Allegations	White		Black		Other		Total
	Males	Females	Males	Females	Males	Females	
ALLOWING UNLICENSED DRIVER TO DRIVE	0	2	1	0	0	0	3
CROSSING AT OTHER THAN CROSSWALK	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
DEFECTIVE LIGHTS - GENERAL	4	0	11	0	0	0	15
DISREGARD A STOP LIGHT	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
DISREGARD A STOP SIGN	2	2	10	2	0	0	16
DISREGARD AN OFFICER'S SIGNAL	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
DISREGARDING RED LIGHT	4	0	0	0	0	0	4
DRAG RACING	3	0	0	0	0	0	3
DRIVERS TO EXERCISE DUE CARE	0	0	5	0	0	0	5
DRIVING WITHOUT LIGHTS	2	0	2	0	0	0	4
EACH HEADLAMP OPERATIONAL	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
ENTER,LEAVE CONTROL-ACCESS	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
FAIL TO DRIVE RIGHT SIDE OF STREET	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
FAIL TO YIELD RIGHT-OF-WAY	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
FAIL TO YIELD TO EMER VEHICLE	1	1	1	0	0	0	3
FAILURE TO MAINTAIN PROPER CONTROL	1	1	0	1	0	0	3
FAILURE TO PROVIDE EVIDENCE OF FINANCIAL RESPONSIBILITY	28	5	36	8	3	0	80
FAILURE TO YIELD	1	0	0	3	0	0	4
FAILURE TO YIELD (STOP SIGN)	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
FAILURE TO YIELD AT INTERSECTION	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
FAILURE TO YIELD AT UNCONTROLLED INTERSECTION	0	1	1	0	0	0	2
FAILURE TO YIELD AT YIELD SIGN	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
FOLLOWING TOO CLOSELY	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
IMPEDING TRAFFIC	0	0	2	1	0	0	3
IMPROPER "U" TURN	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
IMPROPER CITY TAG ON VEHICLE	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
IMPROPER LANE CHANGE	0	0	2	0	0	0	2
IMPROPER LIGHTS	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
IMPROPER RIGHT TURN	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
LIGHTS - GENERALLY	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
LIGHTS REQUIRED ON BICYCLE	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
NO HELMET, MOTORCYCLE	0	1	2	0	0	0	3
OBEDIENCE TO DEVICES-BICYCLES	0	0	0	2	0	0	2
OBEDIENCE TO POLICE OFFICER	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
OBSTRUCTING TRAFFIC PROHIBITED	0	0	2	0	0	0	2
ONE HEADLIGHT OR BRIGHT LIGHTS	0	0	0	1	1	0	2
PARKING IN HANDICAPPED ZONE	0	0	1	1	0	0	2
PROHIBITED REFLECTORIZED WINDOW	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
REQUIRED OBEDIENCE TO TRAFFIC LAWS	1	0	0	0	0	0	1

All information contained in the General Social Data Report is gathered during interview phases and is unverified.

**Children's Bureau**  
**Referral Reasons**  
 Incident Level

Traffic Allegations	White		Black		Other		Total
	Males	Females	Males	Females	Males	Females	
RIDING CYCLE NO HELMET/LIGHTS	0	0	0	2	0	0	2
RIDING ON ROADWAY--BICYCLE	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
SPEED LIMIT - SCHOOL ZONE -- 1-10 OVER	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
SPEED LIMIT -- 1-5 OVER	0	0	1	0	0	0	2
SPEED LIMIT -- 11-15 OVER	5	1	1	2	0	0	9
SPEED LIMIT -- 16-25 OVER	4	1	1	1	0	0	7
SPEED LIMIT -- 26+ OVER (CONFERENCE)	1	0	1	0	0	0	2
SPEED LIMIT -- 6-10 OVER	3	1	3	0	0	0	7
SPEEDING	8	1	6	1	1	0	17
TAIL AND LICENSE LIGHTS	1	0	1	0	0	0	2
TINTED WINDOWS	4	0	2	1	0	0	7
TRAFFIC CONTROL SIGNAL - GENERAL	0	0	2	0	1	0	3
TURNING, STOPPING SIGNALS	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
USE OF BLUE, AMBER, RED OR GREEN FLASHING LIGHTS	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
USE OF OFF-HIGHWAY MOTOR VEHICLES ON HIGHWAYS	0	0	2	0	0	0	2
VEHICLE TURNING LEFT AT INTERSECTION	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
VIOL OF SEATBELT LAW	8	2	27	3	1	0	41
VIOLATION CHILD RESTRAINT LAW	0	0	0	1	1	0	2
WALKING ON ROADWAY	0	0	15	1	0	0	16
<b>Sub Total:</b>	91	20	148	35	9	1	304
<b>Total:</b>	1920	840	10749	4888	192	135	18724

All information contained in the General Social Data Report is gathered during interview phases and is unverified.

## General Social Data

### Referral Reasons Incident Level Selected Charges

Delinquent Allegations	White		Black		Other		Juveniles		Complaints		Incidents	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	2013 / 2012		2013 / 2012		2013 / 2012	
AGGRAVATED ARSON	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	10	1	10	1	10
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT	22	2	142	26	3	0	138	157	138	162	195	236
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT - MISD A	1	0	2	0	0	0	3	4	3	4	3	5
AGGRAVATED BURGLARY	29	4	351	6	4	0	314	275	350	301	394	353
AGGRAVATED KIDNAPPING	0	0	13	0	1	0	10	1	10	1	14	2
AGGRAVATED RAPE	0	0	3	0	0	0	3	6	3	6	3	6
AGGRAVATED RAPE OF A CHILD	0	0	6	0	0	0	4	2	4	2	6	2
AGGRAVATED ROBBERY	7	0	127	2	3	0	91	67	108	71	139	92
AGGRAVATED SEXUAL BATTERY	20	0	49	2	0	0	49	34	50	34	71	39
ATTEMPT - AGGRAVATED BURGLARY	1	0	41	1	0	0	38	30	41	30	43	32
ATTEMPT - AGGRAVATED RAPE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1
ATTEMPT - AGGRAVATED RAPE OF A CHILD	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1
ATTEMPT - AGGRAVATED ROBBERY	2	0	20	0	0	0	16	18	16	18	22	32
ATTEMPT - ESPECIALLY AGGR KIDNAPPING	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	0
ATTEMPT - ESPECIALLY AGGR ROBBERY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	3	0	3
ATTEMPT - MURDER 1ST DEGREE	0	0	23	1	0	0	16	8	17	8	24	20
ATTEMPT - MURDER 2ND DEGREE	0	0	8	0	0	0	3	1	3	1	8	1
ATTEMPT - RAPE OF A CHILD	0	0	2	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	2	1
CARJACKING	0	0	8	0	0	0	8	8	8	8	8	9
CRIMINALLY NEGLIGENT HOMICIDE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1
ESPECIALLY AGGRAVATED BURGLARY	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1
ESPECIALLY AGGRAVATED KIDNAPPING	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	1	2	1	2	1
ESPECIALLY AGGRAVATED ROBBERY	0	0	3	0	0	0	2	4	3	4	3	4
FIRST DEG MURDER IN PERP OF A FELONY	0	0	6	0	0	0	6	1	6	1	6	1
MURDER - 1ST DEGREE	0	0	4	0	0	0	4	2	4	2	4	2
RAPE	1	0	2	0	0	0	3	9	3	9	3	9
RAPE OF A CHILD	30	0	37	0	0	0	33	35	35	36	67	40
RECKLESS ENDANGERMENT W/WEAPON	0	1	12	0	0	0	10	12	10	12	13	16
RECKLESS HOMICIDE	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1
VOLUNTARY MANSLAUGHTER	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	0
<b>Total</b>	113	7	866	38	11	0	759	694	820	730	1,035	921

All information contained in the General Social Data Report is gathered during interview phases and is unverified.

## General Social Data

### Living Arrangement of Child Complaint Level

Arrangements	DELINQUENT		UNRULY		DEPENDENT		OTHER		Total	Percent
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female		
*Not Indicated	1	0	0	0	2	3	3	0	9	0.05
FOSTER FAMILY HOME	50	66	4	3	105	129	1	1	359	2.37
GROUP HOME	33	1	4	2	4	0	0	0	44	0.29
HOME OF NON-RELATIVE	29	31	3	5	63	74	12	9	226	1.49
IN HOME OF RELATIVES	646	300	100	58	533	570	65	56	2,328	15.41
IN INSTITUTION	22	1	1	0	11	9	0	0	44	0.29
INDEPENDENT LIVING	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	3	0.01
OWN HOME - BOTH PTS	586	166	108	39	21	21	17	9	967	6.40
OWN HOME - FATHER	483	119	109	28	160	153	108	78	1,238	8.19
OWN HOME - FTH/SMTH	46	16	3	2	11	8	7	1	94	0.62
OWN HOME - MOTHER	4,346	1,837	933	398	532	590	399	356	9,391	62.17
OWN HOME - MTH/SFTH	199	49	29	4	8	4	2	4	299	1.97
OWN HOME-STEPFATHER	1	3	0	0	1	1	0	0	6	0.03
OWN HOME-STEPMOTHER	1	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	4	0.02
RESIDENTIAL TREATMENT	8	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	0.05
UNKNOWN	32	30	11	4	0	1	0	4	82	0.54
<b>Total</b>	<b>6,484</b>	<b>2,621</b>	<b>1,305</b>	<b>543</b>	<b>1,451</b>	<b>1,566</b>	<b>615</b>	<b>518</b>	<b>15,103</b>	<b>99.90</b>

Arrangements	White		Black		Other		Total	Percent
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female		
*Not Indicated	2	1	4	2	0	0	9	0.05
FOSTER FAMILY HOME	15	17	144	172	1	10	359	2.37
GROUP HOME	4	1	37	2	0	0	44	0.29
HOME OF NON-RELATIVE	14	14	92	100	1	5	226	1.49
IN HOME OF RELATIVES	114	118	1,197	831	33	35	2,328	15.41
IN INSTITUTION	2	1	29	8	3	1	44	0.29
INDEPENDENT LIVING	1	0	1	1	0	0	3	0.01
OWN HOME - BOTH PTS	237	72	476	158	19	5	967	6.40
OWN HOME - FATHER	212	91	631	272	17	15	1,238	8.19
OWN HOME - FTH/SMTH	12	6	55	21	0	0	94	0.62
OWN HOME - MOTHER	634	361	5,502	2,761	74	59	9,391	62.17
OWN HOME - MTH/SFTH	26	13	207	48	5	0	299	1.97
OWN HOME-STEPFATHER	2	0	0	4	0	0	6	0.03
OWN HOME-STEPMOTHER	1	0	0	3	0	0	4	0.02
RESIDENTIAL TREATMENT	3	1	5	0	0	0	9	0.05
UNKNOWN	5	6	38	33	0	0	82	0.54
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,284</b>	<b>702</b>	<b>8,418</b>	<b>4,416</b>	<b>153</b>	<b>130</b>	<b>15,103</b>	<b>99.90</b>

All information contained in the General Social Data Report is gathered during interview phases and is unverified.

## General Social Data

### Prior Referrals/Recidivists Complaint Level

#### Prior Referrals

Prior Referral	Delinquent		Prior Referral	Unruly	
	Total	Percent		Total	Percent
0	3,711	40.76	0	1,392	75.32
1	1,783	19.58	1	321	17.37
2	1,096	12.04	2	84	4.55
3	712	7.82	3	29	1.57
4	471	5.17	4	17	0.92
5	361	3.96	5	4	0.22
6	282	3.10	6	1	0.05
7	186	2.04	7	0	0.00
8	135	1.48	8	0	0.00
9+	368	4.04	9+	0	0.00
<b>Total</b>	<b>9,105</b>	<b>100.00</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>1,848</b>	<b>100.00</b>

#### Location of Residence

Rural	In County	Out of County In State	Out of State	Total
Rural	92	28	21	141
Suburban	1,354	27	38	1,419
Town	167	21	34	222
Urban - Residential	55	4	5	64
Urban - Business or Industrial	135	1	5	141
Unknown/Not Indicated	12,855	73	188	13,116
<b>Total</b>	<b>14,658</b>	<b>154</b>	<b>291</b>	<b>15,103</b>

#### Length of Residence

Time in County	DELINQUENT		UNRULY		DEPENDENT		OTHER		Total	Percent
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female		
*Not Indicated	1	0	0	0	3	2	3	2	11	0.07
FIVE YEARS OR MORE	5,608	2,188	1,081	426	765	817	348	271	11,504	76.17
NOT CURRENTLY RESIDENT	61	30	5	14	16	30	11	5	172	1.13
ONE BUT LESS THAN FIVE	288	128	48	21	445	451	180	164	1,725	11.42
UNDER ONE YEAR	207	66	20	9	215	255	63	71	906	5.99
UNKNOWN	319	209	151	73	7	11	10	5	785	5.19
<b>Total</b>	<b>6,484</b>	<b>2,621</b>	<b>1,305</b>	<b>543</b>	<b>1,451</b>	<b>1,566</b>	<b>615</b>	<b>518</b>	<b>15,103</b>	<b>99.97</b>

All information contained in the General Social Data Report is gathered during interview phases and is unverified.

## General Social Data

### Family Income Complaint Level

DELINQUENT	White		Black		Other		Total	Percent
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female		
*Not Indicated	18	2	50	35	0	0	105	1.15
UNDER \$5,999	13	5	94	56	0	0	168	1.84
\$ 6,000 TO \$ 9,999	39	7	307	91	3	1	448	4.92
\$ 10,000 TO \$14,999	52	6	566	240	3	2	869	9.54
\$ 15,000 TO \$19,999	45	19	600	264	7	1	936	10.28
\$ 20,000 TO \$29,999	110	39	1,035	374	14	9	1,581	17.36
\$ 30,000 TO \$49,999	129	33	445	176	5	2	790	8.67
\$ 50,000 TO \$99,999	87	40	177	65	8	2	379	4.16
\$100,000 OR MORE	42	11	16	21	1	0	91	0.99
PUBLIC ASSISTANCE	70	19	1,609	623	7	9	2,337	25.66
UNKNOWN	142	70	785	397	5	2	1,401	15.38
<b>Total</b>	<b>747</b>	<b>251</b>	<b>5,684</b>	<b>2,342</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>9,105</b>	<b>99.95</b>

UNRULY	White		Black		Other		Total	Percent
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female		
UNDER \$5,999	3	1	15	14	1	0	34	1.83
\$ 6,000 TO \$ 9,999	13	5	77	23	3	0	121	6.54
\$ 10,000 TO \$14,999	23	10	119	41	3	0	196	10.60
\$ 15,000 TO \$19,999	13	9	90	21	1	0	134	7.25
\$ 20,000 TO \$29,999	28	15	158	43	3	3	250	13.52
\$ 30,000 TO \$49,999	28	7	80	20	0	1	136	7.35
\$ 50,000 TO \$99,999	12	10	17	8	1	0	48	2.59
\$100,000 OR MORE	8	3	1	2	0	0	14	0.75
PUBLIC ASSISTANCE	22	10	241	81	2	3	359	19.42
UNKNOWN	90	65	250	143	3	5	556	30.08
<b>Total</b>	<b>240</b>	<b>135</b>	<b>1,048</b>	<b>396</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>1,848</b>	<b>99.93</b>

DEPENDENT	White		Black		Other		Total	Percent
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female		
*Not Indicated	3	5	20	34	2	3	67	2.22
UNDER \$5,999	13	16	64	66	0	5	164	5.43
\$ 6,000 TO \$ 9,999	3	0	17	18	1	0	39	1.29
\$ 10,000 TO \$14,999	11	10	73	72	4	5	175	5.80
\$ 15,000 TO \$19,999	16	15	78	67	6	3	185	6.13
\$ 20,000 TO \$29,999	18	15	81	82	2	7	205	6.79
\$ 30,000 TO \$49,999	10	14	46	48	2	3	123	4.07
\$ 50,000 TO \$99,999	1	8	7	12	2	0	30	0.99
\$100,000 OR MORE	1	0	0	3	0	0	4	0.13
PUBLIC ASSISTANCE	21	27	321	317	10	14	710	23.53
UNKNOWN	83	116	502	553	33	28	1,315	43.58
<b>Total</b>	<b>180</b>	<b>226</b>	<b>1,209</b>	<b>1,272</b>	<b>62</b>	<b>68</b>	<b>3,017</b>	<b>99.96</b>

All information contained in the General Social Data Report is gathered during interview phases and is unverified.

## General Social Data

### Family Income Complaint Level

OTHER	White		Black		Other		Total	Percent
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female		
*Not Indicated	2	3	3	10	1	0	19	1.67
UNDER \$5,999	8	8	24	31	0	0	71	6.26
\$ 6,000 TO \$ 9,999	2	5	11	7	0	0	25	2.20
\$ 10,000 TO \$14,999	5	3	21	15	3	1	48	4.23
\$ 15,000 TO \$19,999	5	7	24	25	0	0	61	5.38
\$ 20,000 TO \$29,999	10	3	33	12	2	2	62	5.47
\$ 30,000 TO \$49,999	9	4	18	12	0	1	44	3.88
\$ 50,000 TO \$99,999	3	3	4	3	0	0	13	1.14
\$100,000 OR MORE	2	0	0	2	0	0	4	0.35
PUBLIC ASSISTANCE	4	2	73	70	2	0	151	13.32
UNKNOWN	67	52	266	219	13	18	635	56.04
<b>Total</b>	<b>117</b>	<b>90</b>	<b>477</b>	<b>406</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>1,133</b>	<b>99.94</b>
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>1,284</b>	<b>702</b>	<b>8,418</b>	<b>4,416</b>	<b>153</b>	<b>130</b>	<b>15,103</b>	

All information contained in the General Social Data Report is gathered during interview phases and is unverified.

## General Social Data

### Serious or Persistent School Behavior Complaint Level

BEHAVIOR	DELINQUENT		UNRULY		DEPENDENT		OTHER		Total	Percent
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female		
*Not Indicated	21	13	0	0	36	40	10	9	129	0.85
BEHAVIOR SATISFACTORY	3,501	1,475	580	250	726	851	329	261	7,973	52.79
BEHAVIOR UNSATISFACTORY	1,696	638	306	85	87	60	31	9	2,912	19.28
NOT IN SCHOOL	331	93	31	13	512	539	216	202	1,937	12.82
UNKNOWN	935	402	388	195	90	76	29	37	2,152	14.24
<b>Total</b>	<b>6,484</b>	<b>2,621</b>	<b>1,305</b>	<b>543</b>	<b>1,451</b>	<b>1,566</b>	<b>615</b>	<b>518</b>	<b>15,103</b>	<b>99.98</b>

BEHAVIOR	White		Black		Other		Total	Percent
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female		
*Not Indicated	10	9	56	53	1	0	129	0.85
BEHAVIOR SATISFACTORY	694	354	4,362	2,422	80	61	7,973	52.79
BEHAVIOR UNSATISFACTORY	190	56	1,905	721	25	15	2,912	19.28
NOT IN SCHOOL	174	155	880	650	36	42	1,937	12.82
UNKNOWN	216	128	1,215	570	11	12	2,152	14.24
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,284</b>	<b>702</b>	<b>8,418</b>	<b>4,416</b>	<b>153</b>	<b>130</b>	<b>15,103</b>	<b>99.98</b>

### Grade Placement in Relation to Age

GRADE PLACEMENT	DELINQUENT		UNRULY		DEPENDENT		OTHER		Total	Percent
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female		
*Not Indicated	2	1	0	0	36	41	9	9	98	0.64
ACCELERATED	16	5	0	1	4	5	3	2	36	0.23
AT EXPECTED LEVEL	3,968	1,939	819	411	707	827	320	251	9,242	61.19
BELOW EXPECTED LEVEL	2,184	568	452	112	117	86	43	24	3,586	23.74
NOT APPLICABLE (NOT IN SCH)	254	75	24	10	534	556	228	216	1,897	12.56
UNKNOWN	60	33	10	9	53	51	12	16	244	1.61
<b>Total</b>	<b>6,484</b>	<b>2,621</b>	<b>1,305</b>	<b>543</b>	<b>1,451</b>	<b>1,566</b>	<b>615</b>	<b>518</b>	<b>15,103</b>	<b>99.97</b>

GRADE PLACEMENT	White		Black		Other		Total	Percent
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female		
*Not Indicated	4	8	42	43	1	0	98	0.64
ACCELERATED	7	3	16	10	0	0	36	0.23
AT EXPECTED LEVEL	759	416	4,962	2,936	93	76	9,242	61.19
BELOW EXPECTED LEVEL	320	100	2,454	684	22	6	3,586	23.74
NOT APPLICABLE (NOT IN SCH)	172	152	835	661	33	44	1,897	12.56
UNKNOWN	22	23	109	82	4	4	244	1.61
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,284</b>	<b>702</b>	<b>8,418</b>	<b>4,416</b>	<b>153</b>	<b>130</b>	<b>15,103</b>	<b>99.97</b>

All information contained in the General Social Data Report is gathered during interview phases and is unverified.

## General Social Data

### School Attainment by Sex and Race Complaint Level

DELINQUENT Last Grade Completed	White		Black		Other		Total
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	
*Not Indicated	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
1ST GRADE	1	0	18	3	0	0	22
2ND GRADE	2	0	37	6	0	0	45
3RD GRADE	5	0	74	14	4	0	97
4TH GRADE	7	1	92	26	0	1	127
5TH GRADE	14	5	231	90	3	1	344
6TH GRADE	20	4	368	190	3	3	588
7TH GRADE	53	13	577	224	7	5	879
8TH GRADE	129	36	1,145	471	13	3	1,797
9TH GRADE	188	73	1,234	525	7	7	2,034
10TH GRADE	170	54	1,088	440	11	2	1,765
11TH GRADE	122	46	695	293	4	5	1,165
12TH GRADE	24	10	76	40	0	1	151
KINDERGARTEN	1	1	7	1	0	0	10
PRE-SCHOOL	0	1	3	0	0	0	4
TOO YOUNG FOR	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
UNKNOWN	11	7	37	19	1	0	75
<b>Total</b>	<b>747</b>	<b>251</b>	<b>5,684</b>	<b>2,342</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>9,105</b>

UNRULY Last Grade Completed	White		Black		Other		Total
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	
1ST GRADE	1	4	11	15	0	0	31
2ND GRADE	1	4	8	8	0	0	21
3RD GRADE	0	0	14	8	0	0	22
4TH GRADE	2	0	13	9	0	1	25
5TH GRADE	6	2	22	12	0	1	43
6TH GRADE	9	3	55	15	1	0	83
7TH GRADE	17	7	85	35	1	3	148
8TH GRADE	48	25	215	67	5	0	360
9TH GRADE	56	35	240	92	5	1	429
10TH GRADE	54	30	215	67	3	4	373
11TH GRADE	35	17	120	44	1	1	218
12TH GRADE	4	5	17	3	0	0	29
KINDERGARTEN	1	1	11	8	1	0	22
PRE-SCHOOL	2	0	13	10	0	1	26
UNKNOWN	4	2	9	3	0	0	18
<b>Total</b>	<b>240</b>	<b>135</b>	<b>1,048</b>	<b>396</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>1,848</b>

All information contained in the General Social Data Report is gathered during interview phases and is unverified.

## General Social Data

### School Attainment by Sex and Race

Complaint Level

DEPENDENT Last Grade Completed	White		Black		Other		Total
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	
*Not Indicated	1	3	33	37	1	0	75
1ST GRADE	9	14	56	57	3	6	145
2ND GRADE	10	6	62	61	4	2	145
3RD GRADE	11	12	51	62	1	3	140
4TH GRADE	8	6	51	66	2	1	134
5TH GRADE	8	12	60	65	4	1	150
6TH GRADE	7	6	52	45	0	3	113
7TH GRADE	2	10	66	74	3	3	158
8TH GRADE	4	11	62	60	4	1	142
9TH GRADE	11	10	62	74	0	1	158
10TH GRADE	2	3	43	43	1	1	93
11TH GRADE	0	2	12	26	0	2	42
12TH GRADE	1	0	2	5	0	0	8
KINDERGARTEN	13	11	72	64	4	2	166
NEVER IN SCHOOL	9	21	64	81	3	9	187
PRE-SCHOOL	13	21	82	91	4	6	217
TOO YOUNG FOR	61	71	331	327	25	23	838
UNKNOWN	10	7	48	34	3	4	106
<b>Total</b>	<b>180</b>	<b>226</b>	<b>1,209</b>	<b>1,272</b>	<b>62</b>	<b>68</b>	<b>3,017</b>

OTHER Last Grade Completed	White		Black		Other		Total
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	
*Not Indicated	2	3	6	5	0	0	16
1ST GRADE	3	6	20	14	2	1	46
2ND GRADE	5	1	19	26	0	2	53
3RD GRADE	4	3	20	22	2	2	53
4TH GRADE	3	2	14	15	1	1	36
5TH GRADE	6	0	20	27	0	0	53
6TH GRADE	2	5	22	16	1	0	46
7TH GRADE	2	1	25	17	0	0	45
8TH GRADE	5	3	32	16	1	1	58
9TH GRADE	6	3	27	13	0	0	49
10TH GRADE	8	3	18	8	2	0	39
11TH GRADE	5	2	17	9	0	0	33
12TH GRADE	1	0	0	3	0	0	4
KINDERGARTEN	5	5	26	20	3	1	60
NEVER IN SCHOOL	6	4	23	18	1	1	53
PRE-SCHOOL	14	6	39	30	2	3	94
TOO YOUNG FOR	36	37	137	134	6	10	360
UNKNOWN	4	6	12	13	0	0	35
<b>Total</b>	<b>117</b>	<b>90</b>	<b>477</b>	<b>406</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>1,133</b>
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>1,284</b>	<b>702</b>	<b>8,418</b>	<b>4,416</b>	<b>153</b>	<b>130</b>	<b>15,103</b>

All information contained in the General Social Data Report is gathered during interview phases and is unverified.

## General Social Data

### Employment and School Status Complaint Level

	EMPLOYED - FULL TIME	EMPLOYED PART TIME	NOT EMPLOYED	INAPPLICABLE - PRE -	UNKNOWN	NOT INDICATED	Total
<b>DELINQUENT</b>							
IN SCHOOL--FULL TIME	18	217	7,717	0	353	137	8,442
IN SCHOOL--PART TIME	0	1	32	0	2	0	35
NOT IN SCHOOL	6	20	506	0	6	1	539
PRE-SCHOOL AGE	0	0	9	0	1	0	10
SPECIAL EDUCATION	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
UNKNOWN	0	0	57	0	19	3	79
NOT INDICATED	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Total</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>238</b>	<b>8,321</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>381</b>	<b>141</b>	<b>9,105</b>
<b>UNRULY</b>							
IN SCHOOL--FULL TIME	0	26	1,540	0	188	0	1,754
IN SCHOOL--PART TIME	0	0	10	0	6	0	16
NOT IN SCHOOL	0	0	56	0	0	0	56
PRE-SCHOOL AGE	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
SPECIAL EDUCATION	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
UNKNOWN	0	1	14	0	6	0	21
NOT INDICATED	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Total</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>1,621</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>200</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1,848</b>
<b>DEPENDENT</b>							
IN SCHOOL--FULL TIME	7	4	1,469	119	77	1	1,677
IN SCHOOL--PART TIME	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
NOT IN SCHOOL	2	0	177	205	45	5	434
PRE-SCHOOL AGE	0	0	104	650	11	4	769
SPECIAL EDUCATION	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
UNKNOWN	0	0	46	4	13	0	63
NOT INDICATED	0	0	0	0	0	73	73
<b>Total</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>1,796</b>	<b>978</b>	<b>147</b>	<b>83</b>	<b>3,017</b>
<b>OTHER</b>							
IN SCHOOL--FULL TIME	1	7	542	32	46	4	632
IN SCHOOL--PART TIME	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
NOT IN SCHOOL	1	1	72	75	22	3	174
PRE-SCHOOL AGE	0	0	43	244	0	2	289
SPECIAL EDUCATION	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
UNKNOWN	0	0	13	5	5	0	23
NOT INDICATED	0	0	0	0	0	14	14
<b>Total</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>671</b>	<b>356</b>	<b>73</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>1,133</b>

All information contained in the General Social Data Report is gathered during interview phases and is unverified.

## General Social Data

### Employment and School Status Complaint Level

#### Estimated Mental Capacity

<b>Estimated Mental Capacity</b>	<b>DELINQUENT</b>		<b>UNRULY</b>		<b>DEPENDENT</b>		<b>OTHER</b>		<b>Total</b>	<b>Percent</b>
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female		
*Not Indicated	26	16	0	0	37	40	10	9	138	0.91
ABOVE AVERAGE	46	16	7	3	17	23	5	2	119	0.78
AVERAGE	4,542	1,850	788	298	693	779	316	240	9,506	62.94
BELOW AVERAGE	358	87	72	17	35	29	12	12	622	4.11
NOT DETERMINED	1,512	652	438	225	669	695	272	255	4,718	31.23
<b>Total</b>	<b>6,484</b>	<b>2,621</b>	<b>1,305</b>	<b>543</b>	<b>1,451</b>	<b>1,566</b>	<b>615</b>	<b>518</b>	<b>15,103</b>	<b>99.97</b>

All information contained in the General Social Data Report is gathered during interview phases and is unverified.

## General Social Data

### Referral Source

Complaint Level

Referred By	DELINQUENT		UNRULY		DEPENDENT		OTHER		Total	Percent
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female		
BARTLETT POLICE	51	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	59	0.39
COLLIERVILLE POLICE	18	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	23	0.15
DA MENTOR SCHOOL - CITY	0	0	0	0	4	2	0	0	6	0.03
DEPT. OF CHILDREN'S	5	2	0	0	385	416	0	0	808	5.34
DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0.00
GERMANTOWN POLICE	8	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	0.05
MEMPHIS POLICE	2,331	701	5	11	0	0	5	1	3,054	20.22
MILLINGTON POLICE	25	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	31	0.20
NON-RELATIVE	0	0	0	0	13	19	2	2	36	0.23
OTHER COURT	38	12	0	1	0	0	0	1	52	0.34
OTHER SOURCE	4	2	0	0	31	30	14	11	92	0.60
PRNTS OR RELATIVES	0	1	0	0	1,002	1,080	500	453	3,036	20.10
PROBATION OFFICER	41	7	0	0	1	3	1	1	54	0.35
SCHOOL SYS - CITY	2	0	141	122	0	1	23	21	310	2.05
SCHOOL SYS - COUNTY	0	3	71	73	0	0	11	14	172	1.13
SHELBY COUNTY SHERIFF'S	195	50	4	2	2	0	0	1	254	1.68
SOCIAL AGENCY	0	0	0	0	12	15	0	0	27	0.17
<b>Sub-Total</b>	<b>2,719</b>	<b>798</b>	<b>221</b>	<b>209</b>	<b>1,451</b>	<b>1,566</b>	<b>556</b>	<b>505</b>	<b>8,025</b>	<b>53.03</b>

Summoned by	DELINQUENT		UNRULY		DEPENDENT		OTHER		Total	Percent
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female		
BARTLETT PD - JS	175	74	58	30	0	0	5	0	342	2.26
COLLIERVILLE PD - JS	144	61	7	2	0	0	3	0	217	1.43
GERMANTOWN PD - JS	97	29	8	4	0	0	0	0	138	0.91
MEMPHIS PD - JS	2,691	1,333	738	221	0	0	42	10	5,035	33.33
MILLINGTON PD - JS	35	12	4	0	0	0	0	0	51	0.33
SHERIFF'S DEPT -JS	623	314	269	77	0	0	9	3	1,295	8.57
<b>Sub-Total</b>	<b>3,765</b>	<b>1,823</b>	<b>1,084</b>	<b>334</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>7,078</b>	<b>46.83</b>
<b>Total</b>	<b>6,484</b>	<b>2,621</b>	<b>1,305</b>	<b>543</b>	<b>1,451</b>	<b>1,566</b>	<b>615</b>	<b>518</b>	<b>15,103</b>	<b>99.86</b>

A juvenile summons may be used by law enforcement officers in cases involving juvenile offenders (except traffic violations in which case a traffic summons or ticket would be issued) if, in the discretion of the officer, the juvenile would not be a menace to society or would not himself be harmed if not taken into custody.

All information contained in the General Social Data Report is gathered during interview phases and is unverified.

## General Social Data

### Care Following Referral

Complaint Level

Care After Referral	DELINQUENT		UNRULY		DEPENDENT		OTHER		Total	Percent
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female		
DETENTION CENTER	1,343	223	3	10	0	0	0	0	1,579	92.99
RELEASED ELECTRONIC	59	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	61	3.59
RELEASED ON APPROPRIATE	40	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	58	3.41
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,442</b>	<b>243</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1,698</b>	<b>99.99</b>

Care Location	DELINQUENT		UNRULY		DEPENDENT		OTHER		Total	Percent
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female		
DETENTION ALTERNATIVE	152	41	0	1	0	0	0	0	194	11.42
DETENTION CENTER	1,290	202	3	9	0	0	0	0	1,504	88.57
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,442</b>	<b>243</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1,698</b>	<b>99.99</b>

Care Reason	DELINQUENT		UNRULY		DEPENDENT		OTHER		Total	Percent
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female		
APC EXECUTED	107	37	0	2	0	0	0	0	146	8.59
APPROPRIATE BOND SET	26	13	1	4	0	0	0	0	44	2.59
AWAITING STATE PLACEMENT	133	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	140	8.24
CASE MANAGEMENT	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	0.41
DANGER TO COMMUNITY	492	33	0	0	0	0	0	0	525	30.91
FLIGHT RISK	30	12	2	4	0	0	0	0	48	2.82
HOLD OTHER AGENCY	44	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	53	3.12
PARENT/GUARD. REFUSED	174	75	0	0	0	0	0	0	249	14.66
RELEASED ELECTRONIC	60	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	62	3.65
SERIOUS ALLEGATION	269	19	0	0	0	0	0	0	288	16.96
UNABLE TO LOCATE PARENT /	100	36	0	0	0	0	0	0	136	8.00
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,442</b>	<b>243</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1,698</b>	<b>99.95</b>

All information contained in the General Social Data Report is gathered during interview phases and is unverified.

## General Social Data

### Dispositions By Hearing Type

Complaint Level

Hearing Types	DELINQUENT		UNRULY		DEPENDENT		OTHER		Total	Percent
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female		
AGREED ORDER	32	16	0	0	3	0	11	4	66	0.17
CASE BEFORE THE CRT	1,508	293	11	15	551	554	28	16	2,976	7.69
CONFERENCE	1,163	562	86	21	0	0	8	3	1,843	4.76
COURT HEARING	2,678	362	110	72	3,307	3,497	1,053	968	12,047	31.14
DETENTION HEARING	1,038	116	3	8	0	0	0	0	1,165	3.01
INITIAL FOSTER CARE REVIEW	84	15	0	0	291	335	0	1	726	1.87
INITIAL PROBABLE CAUSE	208	23	1	0	0	0	0	0	232	0.59
JUDICIAL REVIEW	1	1	0	0	17	11	0	0	30	0.07
MOTION	783	70	0	2	521	538	41	46	2,001	5.17
MOTION TO RECONSIDER	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0.00
NON-JUDICIAL	4,927	2,402	1,275	517	15	8	101	26	9,271	23.97
PERIODIC FOSTER CARE	107	53	0	0	700	750	4	0	1,614	4.17
PERMANENCY HEARING	90	40	0	0	478	525	2	0	1,135	2.93
PLACEMENT HEARING	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0.00
PRELIMINARY HEARING	0	0	0	0	548	536	3	3	1,090	2.81
RATIFICATION HEARING	83	18	0	0	346	399	1	1	848	2.19
REHEARING	104	13	0	0	292	349	95	95	948	2.45
REHEARING - DETENTION	173	15	0	4	0	0	0	0	192	0.49
RELEASE AUTHORIZED	1,644	696	2	7	0	0	3	0	2,352	6.08
TRANSFER HEARING	127	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	128	0.33
TRNS FROM OTHER CRT	3	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	0.01
<b>Total</b>	<b>14,755</b>	<b>4,702</b>	<b>1,488</b>	<b>646</b>	<b>7,069</b>	<b>7,502</b>	<b>1,350</b>	<b>1,163</b>	<b>38,675</b>	<b>99.90</b>

### ADULT OFFENDERS

	CONTRIBUTING TO DELINQUENCY	CONTRIBUTING TO DEPENDENCY AND NEGLECT	VIOLATION OF SCHOOL LAW	CHILD ABUSE	CONTEMPT CHARGE	VIOLATION OF DUTY TO REPORT	TOTAL
MALE WHITE	0	0	13	0	0	0	13
MALE BLACK	0	1	35	0	0	0	36
MALE OTHER	0	0	3	0	0	0	3
FEMALE WHITE	0	1	9	0	0	0	10
FEMALE BLACK	0	0	41	0	0	0	41
FEMALE OTHER	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>101</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>103</b>

## General Social Data

### Non-Final Dispositions

<b>Formal</b>	<b>Delinquent</b>		<b>Unruly</b>		<b>Dependent</b>		<b>Other</b>		<b>Total</b>	<b>Percent</b>
	<b>Male</b>	<b>Female</b>	<b>Male</b>	<b>Female</b>	<b>Male</b>	<b>Female</b>	<b>Male</b>	<b>Female</b>		
<i>A BOND AUTHORIZED</i>	29	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	38	0.14
<i>A P C CANCELED</i>	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0.00
<i>A P C ISSUED</i>	153	64	2	6	4	13	2	3	247	0.96
<i>ADULT - APC ISSUED</i>	1	1	0	0	0	0	12	15	29	0.11
<i>ADULT - APC ON PARENT/GUARDIAN</i>	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	2	0.00
<i>ADULT – CASE STRUCK FROM</i>	1	0	0	0	1	2	17	17	38	0.14
<i>ADULT – CONTINUED</i>	5	2	0	0	0	0	109	77	193	0.75
<i>ADULT - MOTION GRANTED</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0.00
<i>ADULT - MOTION WITHDRAWN</i>	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0.00
<i>ADVISEMENT</i>	352	82	7	7	0	0	0	0	448	1.75
<i>C.A.S.A.</i>	0	0	0	0	27	27	0	1	55	0.21
<i>CASE HELD IN ABEYANCE</i>	2	3	2	1	3	2	0	0	13	0.05
<i>CASE STRUCK FROM DOCKET</i>	20	8	7	5	196	206	76	73	591	2.30
<i>CHILD DETAINED</i>	667	39	1	4	0	0	0	0	711	2.77
<i>CONTINUE EXTENSION OF FOSTER CARE</i>	2	2	0	0	18	32	0	0	54	0.21
<i>CONTINUE FOSTER CARE</i>	63	15	0	0	508	554	2	1	1,143	4.46
<i>CONTINUE JUVENILE JUSTICE</i>	15	7	0	0	1	1	0	0	24	0.09
<i>CONTINUED</i>	644	84	44	24	1,755	1,857	312	329	5,049	19.70
<i>CONTINUED - DEFENSE</i>	142	10	0	0	4	3	0	0	159	0.62
<i>CONTINUED - MUTUAL AGREEMENT</i>	79	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	82	0.32
<i>CONTINUED – STATE</i>	81	8	0	0	2	1	0	1	93	0.36
<i>DCS - REF TO ABUSE / NEGLECT HOTLINE</i>	22	5	1	0	0	0	0	0	28	0.10
<i>DETENTION ALTERNATIVE ELECTRONIC MONITORING</i>	57	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	59	0.23
<i>ELECTRONIC MONITORING – REMOVED</i>	26	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	27	0.10
<i>ELECTRONIC MONITORING – REVOKED</i>	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0.01
<i>EXTRADITION WAIVED</i>	2	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	5	0.01
<i>F. C. HEARING / REVIEW CONTINUED</i>	93	33	0	0	301	343	1	0	771	3.01
<i>GRANTED</i>	286	30	0	0	374	370	22	26	1,108	4.32
<i>JCCO - WEST TENNESSEE FORENSICS</i>	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	0.02
<i>JUST CARE FAMILY NETWORK</i>	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0.00
<i>MOTION FILED</i>	275	24	0	1	0	1	0	0	301	1.17
<i>MOTION FOR A RULE 609 HEARING</i>	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0.00

## General Social Data

### Non-Final Dispositions

<b>Formal</b>	<b>Delinquent</b>		<b>Unruly</b>		<b>Dependent</b>		<b>Other</b>		<b>Total</b>	<b>Percent</b>
	<b>Male</b>	<b>Female</b>	<b>Male</b>	<b>Female</b>	<b>Male</b>	<b>Female</b>	<b>Male</b>	<b>Female</b>		
<i>MOTION FOR ARREST HISTORIES OF WITNESSES</i>	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0.01
<i>MOTION FOR BILL OF PARTICULARS</i>	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0.00
<i>MOTION FOR CERTIFICATION OF EXTENDED AND COPLEX</i>	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0.00
<i>MOTION FOR CONTINUANCE</i>	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0.01
<i>MOTION FOR DISCLOSURE OF IMPEACHING EVIDENCE</i>	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0.01
<i>MOTION FOR DISCOVERY/ INSPECTION</i>	86	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	94	0.36
<i>MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE ADDITIONAL MOTIONS</i>	28	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	30	0.11
<i>MOTION FOR NOTICE OF STATE'S INTENT TO USE EVIDENCE</i>	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0.01
<i>MOTION FOR PRE-TRIAL HEARINGS</i>	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0.01
<i>MOTION FOR PRODUCTION OF EXCULPATORY EVIDENCE</i>	28	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	30	0.11
<i>MOTION FOR SEVERANCE OF DEFENDANTS</i>	8	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	0.03
<i>MOTION FOR SEVERANCE OF OFFENSES</i>	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0.01
<i>MOTION FOR TRANSFER DENIED</i>	49	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	51	0.19
<i>MOTION FOR TRANSFER DOCKET AND HEARING</i>	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0.00
<i>MOTION FOR WITNESS STATEMENTS</i>	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0.01
<i>MOTION IN LIMINE</i>	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0.00
<i>MOTION TO DENY STATE'S REQUEST FOR TRANSFER TO CRI</i>	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0.00
<i>MOTION TO DISMISS</i>	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0.00
<i>MOTION TO REQUIRE STATE TO FILE WRITTEN RESPONSES</i>	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0.01
<i>MOTION TO SUPPRESS</i>	19	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	19	0.07
<i>NO CONTACT ORDER – DH</i>	73	14	0	0	0	0	0	0	87	0.33
<i>NOT GRANTED</i>	70	4	0	1	47	65	4	7	198	0.77
<i>NOTICE OF TRANSFER</i>	270	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	282	1.10
<i>NOTICE OF TRANSFER WITHDRAWN</i>	76	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	82	0.32
<i>PERM PLAN - GOAL CHANGE</i>	0	2	0	0	99	91	0	0	192	0.75
<i>PERM PLAN RATIFIED</i>	67	22	0	0	475	534	2	1	1,101	4.30
<i>PROT CUST ORDER</i>	1	0	0	0	415	419	2	3	840	3.28

## General Social Data Non-Final Dispositions

<b>Formal</b>	<b>Delinquent</b>		<b>Unruly</b>		<b>Dependent</b>		<b>Other</b>		<b>Total</b>	<b>Percent</b>
	<b>Male</b>	<b>Female</b>	<b>Male</b>	<b>Female</b>	<b>Male</b>	<b>Female</b>	<b>Male</b>	<b>Female</b>		
<i>PROT CUST ORDER-DENIED</i>	0	0	0	0	66	49	3	1	119	0.46
<i>PROT CUST ORDER-UPHELD</i>	0	0	0	0	442	455	1	2	900	3.51
<i>REF TO CLINICAL SERVICES</i>	243	22	0	0	0	0	0	0	265	1.03
<i>REFERRED TO NOVA</i>	1	0	0	0	1	3	0	0	5	0.01
<i>REHEARING FILED</i>	122	14	0	2	87	106	24	25	380	1.48
<i>RELEASE APP BOND</i>	316	62	2	4	0	0	0	0	384	1.50
<i>RELEASE SUR BOND</i>	271	29	0	1	0	0	0	0	301	1.17
<i>REMAIN - ELECTRONIC MONITORING</i>	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0.01
<i>REMAIN ADVISEMENT</i>	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0.01
<i>RESTRAINING ORDER ISSUED</i>	0	0	0	0	1	10	1	0	12	0.04
<i>SET ASIDE</i>	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0.00
<i>TRANSITIONAL LIVING PLAN APPROVED</i>	13	3	0	0	30	33	0	0	79	0.30
<b>Sub-Total</b>	<b>4,815</b>	<b>637</b>	<b>66</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>4,859</b>	<b>5,180</b>	<b>591</b>	<b>583</b>	<b>16,790</b>	<b>65.23</b>

<b>Informal</b>	<b>Delinquent</b>		<b>Unruly</b>		<b>Dependent</b>		<b>Other</b>		<b>Total</b>	<b>Percent</b>
	<b>Male</b>	<b>Female</b>	<b>Male</b>	<b>Female</b>	<b>Male</b>	<b>Female</b>	<b>Male</b>	<b>Female</b>		
<i>A BOND AUTHORIZED</i>	1,644	696	2	7	0	0	3	0	2,352	9.19
<i>A P C CANCELED</i>	28	14	2	3	0	0	4	2	53	0.20
<i>A P C EXECUTED</i>	151	51	2	3	2	0	5	5	219	0.85
<i>ADULT - APC EXECUTED</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	4	6	0.02
<i>ATTORNEY FAILED TO APPEAR</i>	14	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	15	0.05
<i>ATTORNEY NOT REQUESTED</i>	1,045	533	83	20	0	0	8	3	1,692	6.61
<i>ATTORNEY PRESENT</i>	40	8	2	0	0	0	0	0	50	0.19
<i>ATTORNEY REQUESTED</i>	53	12	1	0	0	0	0	0	66	0.25
<i>C.A.S.A.</i>	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0.00
<i>CASE HELD IN ABEYANCE</i>	4	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	0.02
<i>CONFERENCE HELD</i>	1,139	547	86	21	0	0	8	3	1,804	7.04
<i>CONTINUE EXTENSION OF FOSTER CARE</i>	0	1	0	0	12	19	0	0	32	0.12
<i>CONTINUE FOSTER CARE</i>	19	12	0	0	854	909	4	1	1,799	7.03
<i>CONTINUE JUVENILE JUSTICE</i>	131	43	0	0	2	3	0	0	179	0.69
<i>DCS - REF TO ABUSE / NEGLECT</i>	10	3	2	0	2	1	0	0	18	0.07
<i>DETENTION ALTERNATIVE</i>	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	0.01
<i>DETENTION SCREENING - REF TO CLINICAL SERVICES</i>	10	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	12	0.04
<i>DIRECT REFERRAL</i>	0	0	0	0	8	6	0	0	14	0.05
<i>F. C. HEARING / REVIEW CONTINUED</i>	41	12	0	0	123	154	0	0	330	1.28

## General Social Data

### Non-Final Dispositions

<b>Informal</b>	<b>Delinquent</b>		<b>Unruly</b>		<b>Dependent</b>		<b>Other</b>		<b>Total</b>	<b>Percent</b>
	<b>Male</b>	<b>Female</b>	<b>Male</b>	<b>Female</b>	<b>Male</b>	<b>Female</b>	<b>Male</b>	<b>Female</b>		
<i>JUST CARE FAMILY NETWORK</i>	10	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	14	0.05
<i>NOTICE OF TRANSFER</i>	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	0.03
<i>RECOMMENDATION TO FILE TPR</i>	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0.00
<i>REFERRED TO NOVA</i>	5	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	0.03
<i>YOUTH COURT</i>	75	38	0	0	0	0	0	0	113	0.44
Sub-Total	4,433	1,984	180	54	1,004	1,093	34	18	8,800	34.26
Total	9,248	2,621	246	113	5,863	6,273	625	601	25,590	99.49

## General Social Data

### Final Dispositions

Formal	DELINQUENT		UNRULY		DEPENDENT		OTHER		Total	Percent
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female		
ACCESS GRANTED TO CHILD	0	0	0	0	3	2	0	0	5	0.02
ACCESS GRANTED TO ENTER HOME	1	0	0	0	3	2	0	0	6	0.02
ADULT - DCS PREVENTION SERVICES	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	6	12	0.05
ADULT - DISMISSED	16	16	0	0	0	0	11	10	53	0.23
ADULT - FINE TO PARENT/GUARDIAN	0	0	0	0	0	0	27	17	44	0.19
ADULT - JAIL SENTENCE	0	2	0	0	0	0	23	18	43	0.19
ADULT - JAIL SUSPENDED SENTENCE DATE	1	0	0	0	0	0	70	50	121	0.54
ADULT - RESCHEDULE JAIL REPORT	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	3	7	0.03
ADULT - UNSUPERVISED PROBATION	1	1	0	0	0	0	71	50	123	0.55
ADULT - WAIVER TO GRAND JURY	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	2	5	0.02
AFFIRMED	45	3	0	0	79	88	23	23	261	1.16
COMMUNITYSERVICE - FIRE SETTER PROGRAM	20	0	19	9	0	0	0	0	48	0.21
DCS - COMMITMENT SUSPENDED	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0.00
DCS - COMMITMENT SUSPENDED / SUPERVISED	9	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	11	0.04
DCS - COMMITMENT SUSPENDED / UNSUPERVISED	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0.01
DCS - CORRECTIVE	188	12	0	0	5	3	0	0	208	0.93
DCS - CORRECTIVE DETERMINATE SENTENCE	43	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	44	0.19
DCS - PREVENTION	54	19	3	4	12	12	0	0	104	0.46
DCS - PROTECTIVE	2	3	1	0	614	652	0	0	1,272	5.69
DEFENSIVE DRIVING SCHOOL	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	0.00
DENIAL OF DRIVING PRIVILEGES	143	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	149	0.66
DISCHARGE FROM TDCS	237	17	0	0	11	3	0	0	268	1.19
DISMISSED	785	169	40	37	625	619	122	127	2,524	11.30
DISRUPTION OF PLACEMENT	6	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	0.03
FINE	6	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	10	0.04
HOME PLACEMENT	194	17	0	0	6	2	0	0	219	0.98
M.A.R.R.S	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0.00
MOTION - WITHDRAWN	92	4	0	0	0	1	0	0	97	0.43
NO CONTACT ORDER - CH	297	28	0	0	4	7	0	0	336	1.50
NOLLE PROS	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0.00
ORDER FOR VISITATION	0	0	0	0	144	157	213	172	686	3.07
ORDER OF PROTECTION	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0.00
ORDER OF WITHDRAWAL	168	39	0	0	0	0	0	0	207	0.92
ORDER REGULATING CONDUCT	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0.00
PARENT / GUARDIAN	0	0	0	0	6	1	0	0	7	0.03

## General Social Data

### Final Dispositions

<b>Formal</b>	<b>DELINQUENT</b>		<b>UNRULY</b>		<b>DEPENDENT</b>		<b>OTHER</b>		<b>Total</b>	<b>Percent</b>
	<b>Male</b>	<b>Female</b>	<b>Male</b>	<b>Female</b>	<b>Male</b>	<b>Female</b>	<b>Male</b>	<b>Female</b>		
<i>PETITION TO REHEAR DISMISSED</i>	0	0	0	0	83	81	23	19	206	0.92
<i>PLACEMENT APPROVED</i>	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0.00
<i>PRIVATE CHILD CARE AGENCY</i>	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	2	0.00
<i>PROBATION APS - CONTINUED</i>	11	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	13	0.05
<i>PROBATION COURTESY SUPERVISION</i>	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0.01
<i>PROBATION COURTESY SUPERVISION - TO OTHER</i>	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0.00
<i>PROBATION TO APS</i>	220	42	0	0	0	0	0	0	262	1.17
<i>PROBATION TO PARENTS</i>	57	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	67	0.03
<i>RECORD ORDERED SEALED</i>	0	0	0	0	1	0	2	0	3	0.01
<i>REF TO EVAL &amp; REFERRAL</i>	337	77	8	0	1	0	0	0	423	1.90
<i>REHEARING - DISMISSED</i>	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	0.03
<i>REHEARING - WITHDRAWN</i>	7	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	8	0.03
<i>RELATIVE / INDIVIDUAL</i>	2	1	0	0	1,242	1,321	228	196	2,990	13.40
<i>RELEASE FROM TDCS</i>	0	0	0	0	8	8	0	0	16	0.07
<i>RELEASE FROM YSB</i>	216	34	0	0	0	0	0	0	250	1.11
<i>REMAIN YSB</i>	52	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	55	0.24
<i>REMAIN - DCS CORRECTIVE</i>	11	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	15	0.06
<i>REMAIN - DCS PROTECTIVE</i>	3	2	0	1	69	68	0	0	143	0.63
<i>RESTITUTION - JUDICIAL</i>	219	15	0	0	0	0	0	0	234	1.04
<i>RESTITUTION DISMISSED</i>	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0.00
<i>RESTITUTION RESERVED</i>	80	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	87	0.38
<i>RESTRAINING ORDER - DENIED</i>	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0.00
<i>RESTRAINING ORDER - UPHELD</i>	0	0	0	0	3	2	0	0	5	0.02
<i>SCHOOL EXEMPTION</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	3	11	0.04
<i>SEX OFFENDER REGISTRY</i>	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0.01
<i>TDMHIDD INVOLUNTARY</i>	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0.00
<i>TRANS TO INTERSTA COMPACT</i>	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0.00
<i>TRANS TO OTHER COURT / ADJ</i>	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	0.00
<i>TRANS TO OTHER COURT / DISP</i>	2	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	5	0.02
<i>TRIAL HOME PLACEMENT</i>	190	19	0	0	50	40	0	0	299	1.33
<i>WAIVED CRIMINAL COURT-MOTION</i>	90	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	91	0.40
<i>YOUTH SERVICE BUREAU</i>	387	26	0	0	0	0	0	0	413	1.84
Sub-Total	4,221	588	72	51	2,971	3,072	836	700	12,511	55.67

## General Social Data




### Final Dispositions

Informal	DELINQUENT		UNRULY		DEPENDENT		OTHER		Total	Percent
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female		
BYPASS	13	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	18	0.08
COMMUNITY SERVICE	229	119	5	1	1	0	0	1	356	1.59
COMMUNITY SERVICE - FIRE SETTER	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0.01
DCS - PREVENTION	29	23	3	2	0	0	0	0	57	0.25
DRIVER'S LICENSE HELD - NJ	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0.00
FORFEITURE	61	15	7	5	0	0	7	0	95	0.42
JIFF INTERVENTION	77	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	78	0.34
M.A.R.R.S	66	25	1	0	0	0	0	0	92	0.41
NO PETITION FILED	633	231	224	88	0	0	28	1	1,205	5.39
NO PETITION FILED - AGE OF MAJORITY	7	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	9	0.04
NO PETITION FILED - PREVIOUS TRANSFER CRIMINAL COURT	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0.00
NO PETITION FILED - UNDER AGE OF CONSENT	7	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	0.03
PROBATION APS - CONTINUED	22	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	25	0.11
PROBATION APS - REACTIVATE	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0.01
REF TO EVAL & REFERRAL	290	164	6	4	0	0	0	0	464	2.07
REL - PROBATIONARY SUPERVISION	220	60	0	0	0	0	1	0	281	1.25
RELEASE FROM YSB SUPERVISION	101	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	111	0.49
RELEASE NO CHARGE	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0.00
RESTITUTION -NJ	14	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	16	0.07
SCHOOL BASED PROBATION	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0.00
VOL FOSTERCARE PLACEMENT	0	0	0	0	12	8	0	0	20	0.08
WARN AND COUNSEL	2,652	1,468	252	73	0	0	39	7	4,491	20.10
WARNING LETTER	668	363	783	347	0	0	21	7	2,189	9.79
WARNING LETTER - OUT OF	15	8	1	0	0	0	0	0	24	0.10
WARNING LETTER IN LIEU OF APC	153	117	7	3	0	0	1	0	281	1.25
<b>Sub-Total</b>	<b>5,270</b>	<b>2,613</b>	<b>1,294</b>	<b>523</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>97</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>9,834</b>	<b>43.88</b>
<b>Total</b>	<b>9,491</b>	<b>3,201</b>	<b>1,366</b>	<b>574</b>	<b>2,984</b>	<b>3,080</b>	<b>933</b>	<b>716</b>	<b>22,345</b>	<b>99.55</b>

# Types of Offenses

TEN-YEAR COMPARISON

## Types of Offenses 2013



**2013 Breakdown**

Murder I & II	4
Other Homicides	8

# Administrative Services Division

Dini Malone, *Director*

Delois A. Campbell, *Administrator, Human Resources*

Trisha Monteil, *Administrator, Finance and Purchasing*

Pamela L. Taylor, *Administrator, Volunteer Services*

Glenn W. Mayfield, *Manager, Information Systems*

Sherry K. Schedler, *Manager, Interagency Services*

Terry Seamon, *Manager, Building Services*

Mitchell C. Morgan, *IV-D Coordinator*

The Administrative Division of Juvenile Court is responsible for:

- Development and administration of budget, contracts and grants
- Human resources
- Building maintenance of a 152,000 square foot facility
- Procurement of equipment and supplies
- Information and telecommunications systems
- Support services (materials control, print shop, food services and office services)
- Volunteer services
- Child support services
- Interagency services

Out-of-County Per Diem Reimbursements

\$100,000

Miscellaneous Revenue

\$21,000

County General Fund

\$16,122,676

---

Total Operating Funds

\$18,448,956

During 2013, a total of 73,891 meals were prepared by the Food Services Department at an average food cost of \$1.84.

The Juvenile Court budget increased from \$17,838,008 to \$18,448,956 from FY2013 to FY2014. Revenue to support expenditures comes from the following sources:

Department of Human Services, Child Support & Advocate Services	\$ 1,822,391
Justice Assistance Grant (JAG)	\$ 154,427
Juvenile Accountability Block Grant (JABG)	\$ 18,174
Department of Children's Services, Child & Family Intervention	\$ 67,688
Administrative Office of the Courts (AOC), Mediation Services	\$12,600
Tennessee Commission on Children and Youth (TCCY), CASA	\$ 9,000
Annie E. Casey Foundation	\$ 21,000
US Department of Education, Breakfast, Lunch and Snack Program	\$100,000

## Volunteer Services Bureau

Pamela L. Taylor, *Administrator*

Volunteer Services Bureau oversees and provides administrative support for Juvenile Court volunteer programs.

In 2013, approximately 900 individuals and organizations throughout Memphis and Shelby County donated over 80,000 hours of volunteer services and other contributions to assist children and families served by Juvenile Court.

### Volunteer opportunities at Juvenile Court include:

- Auxiliary Probation Service (APS)
- Special Services Auxiliary (includes GOAL Program, Ambassador Program and Chaplaincy Program)
- Foster Care Review Board (FCRB)
- Commission on Missing and Exploited Children (COMEC)
- Court Appointed Special Advocate (CASA)

The volunteer programs at Juvenile Court offer immeasurable service to the community. The dedication of volunteers helping children and families in our community is immeasurable.

As a part of community outreach, Volunteer Services Bureau visited several organizations and provided onsite virtual tours to approximately 100 participants during 2013. Virtual tours are provided to motivate youth to refrain from inappropriate behavior and to educate the public on Court programs and operation.

**Auxiliary Probation Service**  
*Nataki Oyeleye-Dowdy, Coordinator*

The Auxiliary Probation Service (APS) was created in 1964 by the late Juvenile Court Judge Kenneth A. Turner, it was the first volunteer probation program of its kind in the nation. The Auxiliary Probation Service (APS) provides Court ordered services for the supervision, guidance, protection and welfare of youth in Memphis and Shelby County.

Under the leadership of Judge Curtis S. Person, the Auxiliary Probation Service (APS) continues to thrive. It remains the oldest and largest volunteer probation service of its kind. In 2013, approximately 241 active Auxiliary Probation Officers contributed over 40,488 hours supervising approximately 421 youth placed on supervised probation and the BYPASS Program. The BYPASS Program (Bringing Youth Positive Assistance through Special Services) is an alternative to probation for very young children with minor offenses. The BYPASS Program is very successful in keeping many youth from future delinquent behavior.

During 2013, members of the Auxiliary Probation Service (APS) were honored for their outstanding contributions and dedicated service. Division Chief Tommy Evans received the APS Leadership Award, District Chief Nathaniel Moody received the Jesse H. Bishop Award, Deputy Chief LaTasha Jones received the Clara Hill Award, and Officer Freddie Terrell received the Jeune Wood Volunteer of the Year Award.

In addition to these awards Officer Victoria Patton was honored as a 2013 Jefferson Award winner and a 2012 Spirit of Giving Award nominee.

Members celebrating anniversaries include:

- 40+ Years: District Chief Nathaniel Moody (44), Deputy Chief Tommy Smith (43)
- 30+ Years: Officer Melvin Shipp (38), Officer James Fisher (36), Officer Robert Carter (34), Officer Lillian Brisco (33)
- 20+ Years: Officer Aubrey Miller (27), Officer William Gilmore (24), Officer Anne Boswell (24), Officer Leroy Davis (24), Division Chief Tommy Evans (24), District Chief Keith Woodard (22), Officer Wash Johnson (22), Officer Earline Richmond (21).

**Auxiliary Probation Service (APS)-  
 Summons Division**  
*Joy Vernon, Coordinator*

In 2010, Judge Curtis S. Person spearheaded a movement for local law enforcement to issue a summons instead of arresting and detaining first and second time juvenile offenders who commit a misdemeanor offense. The seven misdemeanor offenses include: criminal trespass, simple assault, simple possession of marijuana, theft of property (\$500 or less), vandalism of property (\$500 or less), gambling, and disorderly conduct.

The Auxiliary Probation Service (APS)-Summons Division was created by Judge Curtis S. Person in September 2010 to address the increased volume of summons received by Juvenile Court due to the success of the innovative summons policy.

Auxiliary Probation Officers conduct the summons conferences at Juvenile Court. During the conference, the arrest ticket is reviewed and the youth is counseled to determine guilt and the reason for the crime. The Auxiliary Probation Officer documents any special observations to determine if the juvenile needs to be referred for specialized counseling (anger management, substance abuse, depression). If the juvenile admits guilt, signs a Court waiver and pays Court costs the juvenile will not have a Juvenile Court record.

In 2013, Volunteer Services Bureau received 1,014 summons. Approximately 11 active Auxiliary Probation Officers contributed approximately 1,246 hours conducting 920 conferences.

**Auxiliary Probation Service (APS)-  
Mentoring Division**  
*Joy Vernon, Coordinator*

In 2012, the APS-Mentoring Division was created by Judge Curtis S. Person to enhance the APS-Summons Program.

The mission of the APS-Mentoring Division is to collaborate with citizens of Memphis and Shelby County and redirect children identified by the Court as being in the very early stages of delinquency by effectively using a combination of mentoring activities such as:

- life skills training
- job skills training
- motivational speeches
- recreational activities
- common sense counseling
- anger management counseling

Referrals to the APS-Mentoring Program are made by the Judge, Magistrates, and the APS-Mentoring Coordinator. In 2013, forty (40) referrals were made to the program.

**Special Services Auxiliary**

The Special Services Auxiliary utilizes volunteers to provide additional services to Juvenile Court.

Implemented by Judge Curtis S. Person in 2007, the Ambassador Program is based in the Court's lobby. Ambassadors offer a customer friendly atmosphere by greeting and guiding visitors to various locations within the building. Ambassadors also help the Court to monitor guests in the building by assisting visitors with the check-in process at lobby kiosks. During 2013, twenty-five (25) individuals contributed approximately 1,249 hours with the Ambassador Program.

Since 1977, the GOAL Program (Greater Opportunity for Additional Learning) has provided daily motivational messages and life skills training to juveniles while they are housed in Detention Services Bureau. GOAL facilitators serve as special guest speakers for juveniles prior to the start of their school day at HOPE Academy. HOPE Academy is the Memphis City School operated within Detention Services Bureau.

Volunteer facilitators from various walks of life encourage dialogue from detainees by sharing life experiences, stressing the importance of education and encouraging them to achieve their goals. In 2013, twenty-six individuals contributed a total of 124 hours in the GOAL Program serving 3,502 boys and 126 girls.

The Chaplaincy Program, supervised by Chaplain Philip R. Schmidt, coordinates visits by various faith based organizations that minister to the children in Detention Services Bureau.

**Foster Care Review Board**

**Libby Lucchesi**, *Coordinator*  
**Joponica Truitt**, *Coordinator*  
**Melissa Ungberg**, *Coordinator*

**Commission on Missing  
and Exploited Children**

**Sergeant Len Edwards**, *Executive Director*  
*Memphis Police Department*

Foster Care services is responsible for the oversight and review of post-adjudicatory children placed in the custody of the Tennessee Department of Children’s Services subsequent to findings of dependency, neglect, and unruly or delinquent behavior to ensure his or her safety, well-being, permanency, and rehabilitation . In 2013, 2,149 cases were docketed before Magistrates to approve permanency and transitional living plans of these children. These children are also reviewed by the Foster Care Review Board, a quasi-judicial board comprised of volunteers. The Board members review quarterly reports, treatment plans, health and educational records submitted by the department and make recommendations regarding the efforts and progress made by the families and youth in care.

These findings and recommendations pertain to:

- necessity of continuing foster care, the appropriateness of the placement, and the projected date a child can exit by adoption or permanent placement
- level of participation of all involved parties in fulfilling their plan responsibilities
- extent of progress in the elimination of issues that necessitated placement in care
- necessity of filing a termination of parental rights action if timely progress has not been made towards reunification.

In 2013, the Foster Care Review Board reviewed 2,408 cases resulting in approximately 5,202 volunteer hours. In addition to reviewing issues related to permanency and well-being, some specialized Boards review older youth to ensure the development of a Transitional Plan tailored to their needs and issues approaching adulthood. Specialized Foster review Care Review Boards also review young adults ages 18-21 receiving Extension of Foster Care services to ensure they are meeting the independent living goals of his/her transition plan to better equip them for adulthood and independence. There are approximately 200 active Foster Care Review Board members, and they are to be commended for their dedication to the children and families of our community.

COMEC is a tax exempt [IRS designated 501© (3)] charity that has been promoting the safety and protection of all children since 1984. COMEC was formed after a series of highly publicized child abductions such as little Adam Walsh (son of America’s Most Wanted host John Walsh).

COMEC was established to provide a comprehensive, cooperative and collaborative effort to better address the issues surround missing and exploited children.

COMEC has three Masters level counselors, two part-time office assistants and a college intern that helps with research needs. COMEC has been graciously housed at the Memphis and Shelby County Juvenile Court since 1984.

COMEC addresses the many diverse needs of families and children. Our programs provide educational experiences, location assistance, and intervention strategies for a host of children’s issues. These programs include:

- Missing children
- Teen substance abuse screening
- Safety in cyberspace
- Anti-bullying ( violence prevention)
- Child safety identification
- Human trafficking issues

In addition, COMEC handles considerable walk-in clients referred by the Juvenile Court, Law Enforcement and the community. These individuals usually have needs regarding information on non-custodial interference cases (parents who do not return children after visitation including family abduction). Additionally, COMEC assists with runaway issues, parental abductions, truant and unruly children.

In 2013, COMEC provided:

- Free drug screening for nearly 1,000 children in the Memphis Community.
- Distributed over 2,300 free Child Safety Identification Kits
- Participated in the Foster Care Review Board
- Participated in the Truancy Prevention Initiative
- Presented at over 60 community events
- Provided In-Service Training to Law Enforcement agencies throughout TN
- Presented G.O.A.L training to 250 children in detention

## Court Appointed Special Advocates

*Daphni Ishak, Executive Director*

Court Appointed Special Advocates (CASA) became a program of The Exchange Club Family Center, Inc July 1, 2013. CASA of Memphis and Shelby County began in 1986 under the late, Kenneth A. Turner, former Judge of the Shelby County Juvenile Court as a result of a national movement by juvenile court judges to look for alternative ways to make sure the best interests of children would be consistently presented to the court. Our mission is to empower community volunteers to be advocates in Court for abused and neglected children needing safe, permanent homes. In 2013, 76 active volunteers served 220 children and their families and contributed over 5,000 hours of service.

CASA volunteers receive in depth training to support their role as an advocate and are appointed by a Magistrate to advocate for the best interest of an abused or neglected child in Court. Once assigned to a child's case, volunteers are professionally supervised through the investigative process of gathering information from the child, their families, educators, social service professionals, health care providers, and any other persons having information regarding the child's case. Upon completion of the investigation, the findings are presented to the Court outlining the volunteer's concerns, evaluations, and recommendations for the child's future.

CASA's role in Court is powerful and significantly improves how justice is administered in dependency and neglect cases. Juvenile Court Magistrates use the report to respect the child's sense of time, avoid unnecessary continuances and make informed, timely decisions regarding the best interest of the child. For children, CASA volunteers are a lifeline in complex legal proceedings offering trust, advocacy, and a means to express their own opinions and hopes. One CASA child noted "To give a child a CASA volunteer is to give them a voice, and to give them a voice is to give them hope, and to give them hope is to give them the world."

### Interagency Services

*Sherry K. Schedler, Manager*

The Office of Interagency Services develops and maintains youth services initiatives and projects shared by Juvenile Court and outside agencies. Interagency Services endeavors to expand services to children and

families through partnerships, program development, and grants.

In 2013, the Court participated in several grant initiatives. Examples include:

- The Child and Family Intervention Grant, awarded by the Tennessee Department of Children's Services, provided professional services for work with at-risk children and youth.
- The Justice Assistance Grant (JAG), funded by the U.S. Bureau of Justice Assistance (BJA), allows states and local governments to prevent and control crime and improve the criminal justice system. JAG provided Youth Court staff continuation funding, computer software enhancements and professional service fees for GPS ankle bracelet monitoring of juveniles as an alternative to detention.
- The Juvenile Accountability Block Grant (JABG), a federal grant of the U.S. Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention (OJJDP), is administered by the Tennessee Commission on Children and Youth (TCCY). JABG's goal is to reduce delinquency by improving the juvenile justice system and increasing accountability of offenders. JABG funds provided computer software enhancements.
- The Supreme Court of Tennessee, Administrative Office of the Courts (AOC), Access and Visitation Grant, provided continued funding for a part-time law student in the Office of the Advocate for Non-Custodial Parents to assist self-represented litigants.

In September 2013, a pilot was established to reduce the number of youth transported to Juvenile Court who do not pose a danger to themselves or the community. The Law Enforcement Assessment Phone-In Pilot Program (LEAP) allows officers to call Central Detention Control about a youth in custody to determine if they may be issued a juvenile summons in lieu of transport.

Juvenile Court and Shelby County Schools recently began a new partnership to enhance accountability for students on probation for delinquent offenses. The School-Based Probation Liaison program authorizes trained faculty representatives at 20 participating schools to maintain regular contact with students. The National Center for Juvenile and Family Court Judges (NCJFCJ) selected Juvenile Court of Memphis and

Shelby County as one of 16 cities nationwide to participate in the School Pathways to Juvenile Justice System Project. The goal is to support student engagement, reduce school exclusion and referrals to Juvenile Court. We look forward to the project's launch in the fall of 2014.

Hope Academy is a partnership between Juvenile Court and Shelby County Schools to provide academic instruction and programming for detained youth. Hope Academy opened in 2010 and is prospering. On February 8, 2013, Hope Academy celebrated three remarkable years at Juvenile Court. This year, an accompanying mentoring program was begun under the auspices of Operation: Safe Community 2012-2016. The Hope Academy Mentoring Program (HAMP) provides youth leaving Hope Academy with a mentor to successfully transition back to school.

Youth Court, a collaboration with the Tennessee Bar Association, Memphis Bar Association, Memphis Area Legal Services, and Shelby County Schools has flourished since it began in 2011. Youth Court is a model program of the U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ), Office of Justice Programs (OJP), Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention (OJJDP), and incorporates elements of restorative justice to hold youth accountable for offenses and prevent future delinquency. Youth courts, also known as teen or peer courts, are an alternative sentencing mechanism for first-time, non-violent juvenile offenders who appear before and are sentenced by a jury of their peers. New schools joined the Youth Court Initiative in 2013, bringing the total number of participating schools to ten. About 155 students and more than 55 local attorneys voluntarily advise and mentor participants in Youth Court, which has become a model site in Tennessee with the lowest recidivism rate of any Juvenile Court program.

Juvenile Court works with The Memphis Shelby Crime Commission, who provides leadership and coordination on new and emerging youth initiatives including:

- Operation: Safe Community(OSC) is a strategic crime reduction initiative that incorporates the Memphis Youth Violence Prevention Plan. OSC engages over 130 partners representing faith-based and educational institutions, nonprofits and government in implementing strategies for Memphis and Shelby County. Last year, the new phase launched for the next five years, Operation: Safe Community 2012-2016. The new plan places special emphasis on youth violence prevention and intervention, with action items developed through a unique partnership with DOJ and the Memphis Youth Violence Prevention Plan. In 2013, projects continue to advance.
- In May 2013, a Domestic Violence Specialist began work at Juvenile Court under the administration of the Shelby County Office of Early Childhood and Youth (SCOECY), and funded by the U.S. DOJ, Defending Childhood Initiative (DCI). The local project, Network for Overcoming Violence and Abuse (NOVA), is a response to the problem of trauma that happens after experiencing violence. NOVA offers all the help that children and their families need to put the hurt behind them, to heal and to live their lives with hope.

Memphis' success in reducing the number of youth in Detention earned the City a coveted partnership with the Annie E. Casey Foundation (AECF) in 2011. Memphis is one of the largest urban Courts in the U.S. and the first in Tennessee selected by AECF as a Juvenile Detention Alternative Initiatives (JDAI) Site. The AECF has JDAI sites in approximately 200 jurisdictions in 39 states and Washington D.C. focusing on education, counseling, rehabilitation, and therapy. The Foundation's juvenile justice reform agenda is designed to improve the odds that delinquent youth make successful transitions to adulthood. Our project began in 2012 with training and community meetings. In 2013, JDAI progresses with specialized training, assessment, community partnerships and a governing committee. Interagency Services looks forward to working with our valued community partners in the coming year to expand and improve services to children and families.

# Index

Administrative Services Division.....	51	Homicides, Committed by Juveniles .....	50
Admission, Detention Center .....	17	Hope Academy .....	17
Adult Offenders .....	42	Interagency Services .....	55 –56
Advocate for Noncustodial Parents .....	9	Juvenile Defender .....	10
Alcohol and Drug Related Offenses, Ten-Year Comparison .....	50	Juvenile Summons.....	40
Auxiliary Probation Service.....	52	Legal Department .....	9
Boys Detention.....	18	Living Arrangements of Child.....	31
Budget.....	51	Mental Capacity .....	39
Care Following Referral .....	41	Message from the Judge.....	2 – 3
Care Location .....	41	Office of Clinical Services.....	10
Care Reason .....	41	Office of the Guardian ad litem.....	9
Case Management Program .....	15	Office of Outcome Evaluation and Performance Improvement.....	16
Central Detention Control.....	16	Organizational Chart .....	4
CAO & Chief Counsel.....	9	Placements, Institutional and Agencies .....	31
Children's Bureau.....	13	Protective Services Department .....	14
Commission on Missing and Exploited Children .....	54	Recidivist Report.....	32
Community Service Program.....	13	Referral Reasons .....	22 – 29
Complaints, Juveniles.....	20 – 21	Referral Reasons, Selected Charges.....	30
Corrective Services Department.....	13	Referral Source .....	40
Court Appointed Special Advocates .....	55	Residence, Length of Time in County.....	32
Court Clerk's Office.....	7 – 8	Residence, Location.....	32
Court Services Division .....	11	School Attainment, Sex and Race.....	36 – 37
Delinquency Placements.....	19	School Misbehavior .....	35
Dependency and Neglect Placements.....	19	School Status.....	38 – 39
Detention Services Bureau .....	17	Special Services Auxiliary.....	53
Detention Center .....	18	Staff Positions .....	6
Dispositions, Final .....	47 – 49	Statistical Summary.....	6
Dispositions, Hearing Type .....	42	Table of Contents.....	5
Dispositions, Non-Final.....	43 – 46	Traffic Information.....	19
Employment Status, Juveniles .....	38	Types of Offenses, Ten-Year Comparison .....	50
Evaluation and Referral Bureau.....	12	Volunteer Services Bureau.....	51
Family Income .....	33 – 34	Youth Services Bureau .....	15
Food Services.....	51		
Foster Care Review Board .....	54		
Girls Detention.....	16		
Grade Placement in Relation to Age.....	35		